

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Effect of Mediterranean saltbush (*Atriplex halimus*) ensilaging with two developed enzyme cocktails on feed intake, nutrient digestibility and ruminal fermentation in sheep

Haidy ALSERSY,¹ Abdelfattah Z. M. SALEM,^{1,2} Borhami E. BORHAMI,¹ Jaime OLIVARES,³ Hany M. GADO,⁴ Maria D. MARIEZCURRENA,⁵ Mohamed H. YACUOT,⁷ Ahmed E. KHOLIF,⁶ Mounir EL-ADAWY¹ and Saul R. HERNANDEZ³

¹Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt, ⁴Faculty of Agriculture, Animal Production Department, Ain Shams University, ⁶Dairy Science Department, National Research Centre, ⁷Animal Production Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, Cairo, Egypt, ²Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, ⁵Facultad de Ciencias Agrícolas, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Estado de México, and ³Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Carretera Altamirano – Iguala, Guerrero, México

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to assess the effects of feeding *Atriplex halimus* (AH) silage treated with two developed enzyme cocktails to sheep on feed intake, nutrient digestibility and ruminal fermentation. The AH silage was treated without or with 2 L of ZAD1® or ZAD2®/1000 kg with 5% molasses and ensiled for 30 days. Barley grain (300 g/head/day) was fed as an energy supplement once daily at 10.00 hours and AH silage with or without enzyme treatment was offered *ad libitum* to animals twice daily at 09.00 and 16.00 hours. Sheep were fed on four experimental forage diets comprised of AH silage and barley (D1), AH silage treated with ZAD1® and barley (D2), AH silage treated with ZAD2® and barley (D3) and AH silage treated with a combination of ZAD1® and ZAD2® (1:1) and barley (D4). Ensiling AH with enzymes reduced its contents of neutral detergent fiber and acid detergent fiber. The dry matter intake of AH of D2, D3 and D4 decreased ($P < 0.001$) as compared to D1. However, enzyme-treated diets had greater total digestible nutrients intake ($P < 0.001$) as compared to D1. The nutrients digestibility for D2, D3 and D4 were higher than those for D1 ($P < 0.001$), and were higher for D3 as compared to both D2 and D4. Sheep fed on D3 had highest ($P < 0.001$) ruminal total volatile fatty acids concentration, ammonia nitrogen concentration and microbial protein yield. It could be concluded that AH silage treated with ZAD1® or ZAD2® improved digestibility and rumen fermentation in sheep.

Key words: *Atriplex halimus*, exogenous enzymes, feed intake, nutrient digestibility, ruminal fermentation.

INTRODUCTION

The main constraint to develop animal production in developing countries is feed shortage, both in terms of quality and quantity. Halophytic and saltbush forage shrubs are used for ruminant feed across a range of saline and arid production environments (Le Houerou 1992). Saltbushes contain high levels of crude protein (CP) (Norman *et al.* 2004; Al-Owaimer *et al.* 2011), however, much of the nitrogen (N) is associated with non-protein compounds such as nitrates and proline. These non-protein compounds may be converted into microbial protein in the rumen or converted to ammonia depending on the availability of metabolizable energy (Pearce *et al.* 2010). In Egypt, tree and shrub forages play an important role in ruminant

feeding in arid and semi-arid regions of the northern region of Egypt where halophyte shrubs of the genus *Atriplex* tend to predominate in these areas (Salem *et al.* 2012). However, *Atriplex halimus* is not highly utilized because of its deficiency of available carbohydrate and high fiber content, and therefore, barley grain as an energy source has been suggested to

Correspondence: Abdelfattah Z. M. Salem, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Estado de México, México. (Email: asalem70@yahoo.com) [Correction added on 9 October 2014, after first online publication: Corresponding author address has been corrected.]

Received 21 September 2013; accepted for publication 17 March 2014.

stimulate the utilization of saltbush. Feeding a high-energy supplement such as barley grains can improve the feeding value of saltbush pastures and providing energy to ruminal microbes, stimulating carbohydrate digestion and detoxifying secondary metabolites (Mayberry *et al.* 2008; Norman *et al.* 2008). *Atriplex halimus* has high ash and crude fiber, and low crude fat contents. However, it contains up to 10% sodium chloride and secondary plant metabolites, including tannins, flavonoids, saponins, alkaloids and resins (Makkar 2003; Salem *et al.* 2006) which considerably affect its palatability and nutritive value (Abd El-Rahman *et al.* 2006). The processing of *A. halimus* by ensiling enhanced its acceptability in sheep and goats providing sufficient metabolizable energy and digestible CP to animals (Abd El-Rahman *et al.* 2006).

In recent years, some species of the *Atriplex* genus, in particular *Atriplex halimus*, has been cultivated as forage because of its tolerance to severe conditions of drought, and it can also grow in very alkaline and saline soils (Aharonson *et al.* 1969). *Atriplex halimus* has been studied in relation to its adaptability, productive potential, palatability and nutritive value (Norman *et al.* 2008; Otal *et al.* 2010). It is well known that addition of exogenous enzymes to animal diets can improve feeding value and animal performance by improving fiber degradation and increasing feed intake and digestion (Khattab *et al.* 2011; Salem *et al.* 2013) as indicated by *in vitro* studies (Giraldo *et al.* 2008; Elghandour *et al.* 2013), *in situ* studies (Miller *et al.* 2008a; Chung *et al.* 2012) and *in vivo* studies (Gado *et al.* 2009; Holtshausen *et al.* 2011; Salem *et al.* 2013). Moreover, enzymes addition at forage ensiling increased both feed intake and digestion rate (Miller *et al.* 2008b; Salem *et al.* 2012). A two recently developed enzyme cocktails ZAD1[®] and ZAD2[®] are biotechnical products made from anaerobic bacteria from natural sources to elevate the level of cellulolytic enzymes from anaerobic bacteria, which convert polysaccharides into monosaccharide by specific enzymes (Gado *et al.* 2009, 2011) and their products have beneficial effects on nutritive value of poor quality roughages (Gado 1997). ZAD1[®] differs from ZAD2[®] in its different enzyme concentrations.

The objective of the present work was to evaluate the effect of treating *A. halimus* with different enzymes of ZAD1[®] or ZAD2[®] on sheep feed intake, nutrient digestibility, N utilization, rumen fermentation and rumen microbial protein synthesis in sheep.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. halimus preparation

Leaves and stems of fresh Mediterranean saltbush (*A. halimus*) were collected from the north-western desert region in Egypt, dried and chopped to 3–5 cm in lengths and stored in a dry environment. The secondary metabolite concentrations of fresh (g/kg) and dried *A. halimus* (g/kg dry

matter (DM)), respectively, were 13.33 and 7.21 for total phenolics, 5.45 and 2.23 for total tannin and 7.27 and 2.62 for alkaloids.

Animals and treatments

Three Barki rams (45 ± 3.2 kg) were used in four consecutive trails for *ad libitum* feed intake and nutrients digestibility determination; and three ewes (40 ± 2.6 kg) fitted with permanent rumen fistulas were used for rumen fermentation trials as the source of inoculum for *in vitro* rumen fermentation trials.

All animals were housed in individual cages. The sheep were fed with basal diet comprised of *A. halimus* silage treated with or without enzymes, *ad libitum*, and barley grain (300 g/day). The diet was formulated to meet maintenance requirements (NRC 1994).

A. halimus was moistened into DM content in an average of 40% without or with addition of ZAD1[®] or ZAD2[®] (2 L/1000 kg of *A. halimus*, in fresh matter basis) and molasses (5% *A. halimus*, in fresh matter basis), and then sealed with a polyethylene sheet to be ensiled for 4 weeks in each treatment. Every diet was prepared a month before feeding to animals. Barley grain (300 g/head/day) was fed as an energy supplement during the experimental period for all diets. Four experimental diets comprised of: AH silage (leaves and stems; D1); AH silage treated with ZAD1[®] and barley (D2), AH silage treated with ZAD2[®] and barley (D3) and AH silage treated with a combination of ZAD1[®] and ZAD2[®] (1:1) and barley (D4). For all treatments, *A. halimus* was offered to animals *ad libitum* twice daily at 09.00 and 16.00 hours, while barley grain was given once daily at 10.00 hours.

The two recently developed enzyme cocktails ZAD1[®] and ZAD2[®] (patent No: 22155) were obtained from the laboratory of the Rumen Ecology Center, Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, according to the procedure of (Gado 1997). Both ZAD1[®] and ZAD2[®] are live anaerobic bacteria with their enzymes (Table 1).

Metabolism study

Each metabolism trial was conducted for 3 weeks as a preliminary period and 1 week for sample collection. All animals were kept individually in stainless metabolic cages at room temperature and had free access to water. Beneath each cage was a stainless steel screen having 4 mm mesh to retain feces but free passage of urine, which was collected through a

Table 1 Composition of exogenous enzymes of ZAD1[®] and ZAD2[®]

Samples	Xylanase‡	a-amylase§	Cellulase¶	Protease††
ZAD1 [®]	2.32 U/mL	61.5 U/mL	7.05 U/mL	29.2 U/mL
ZAD2 [®]	6.93 U/mL	69.4 U/mL	8.16 U/mL	12.3 U/mL

‡ZAD1,2[®]: moisture contents of 40%. †One unit (U) is defined as the amount (g or mL) of enzyme needed to release 1 μmol xylose per minute from 5 mg/mL xylan solution (pH 5.5 and 37°C). §One unit (U) is defined as enzyme needed to produce 1 mg glucose from starch in 1 h by 1 mL ZAD1,2[®] (pH 4.6 and 40°C). ¶One unit (U) is defined as enzyme activity required to release 1 μmol reducing sugar from 4 mg/mL Na carboxymethyl cellulose in 1 min (pH 5.5 and 37°C). ††One unit (U) is defined as enzyme needed to produce 1 μmol amino acids from protein in 1 min (pH 5.5 and 37°C).

funnel. Feces and urine were collected once daily before the morning feeding and stored at -10°C for later analysis.

The samples of feces were mixed within 7 day's collections, composited and stored for later routine analyses. Fecal samples were dried at 60°C for 72 h and then ground through a 1 mm screen using a Wiley mill grinder (Arthur H. Thomas, Philadelphia, PA, USA).

Conventional analysis of feed and fecal samples was carried out according to AOAC (1997) for DM, crude ash, N, crude fiber (CF) and ether extract (EE). The neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) were analyzed by the method of Van Soest *et al.* (1991) using ANKOM200 Fibre Analyzer unit (ANKOM Technology Corporation, Macedon, NY, USA). The NDF was assayed without use of an alpha amylase but with sodium sulfite. Both NDF and ADF are expressed without residual ash. Urinary N was analyzed according to AOAC (1997).

The value of total digestible nutrients (TDN) intake was calculated according to NRC (2001) using values of digestible nitrogen free extract (NFE), CP, EE and CF.

Ruminal fermentation activities

In vitro zero order technique (Carroll & Hungate 1954) was applied for measuring rates of ammonia ($\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$) and volatile fatty acids production. Rumens contents were collected from three rumen fistulated Barki ewes fed on each experimental diet consecutively at 08.00 hours before feeding and at 1, 3 and 6 h after feeding.

The rumen samples were mixed, homogenized and filtered through a sieve with a pore size of 1 mm under continuous CO_2 flushing, closed with a tightly fitting rubber with an outlet Bunsen valve and incubated at 39°C in a thermostatically controlled water bath. Each sample was composed of two-thirds fibrous material and one-third liquid (El-Shazly & Hungate 1965). At zero time incubation, two sub-samples were transported, poured into another jar containing formalin (1 mL/100 g rumen contents) and swirled vigorously to stop metabolic activity.

After 1 h incubation, rumen samples treated with formalin were used to estimate $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ and total volatile fatty acids (tVFA) concentration. Rumen samples taken at zero and at 1 h fermentation were strained through four layers of cheesecloth. Fifty milliliters of rumen liquor were deproteinized using 0.03 mol/mL of sulphuric acid (50 mL) and the volume was diluted with water to 500 mL in a volumetric flask and filtered. The supernatant was used for determination of $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentration using (MgO) distillation method (Al-Rabbat *et al.* 1971) and tVFA were estimated using steam distillation as described by Warner (1964).

The microbial protein (MP) synthesized in the rumen fed the four experimental diets were calculated by the following equation (Borhami *et al.* 1992):

$$\text{MP (g/day)} = \text{tVFA production (mol/day)} \times 2 \times 13.48 \times 10.5 \times 6.25/100$$

tVFA production = tVFA concentration (mol/100 mL; obtained from the *in vitro* zero order technique) $\times 10 \times$ total rumen digesta (L).

Multiplication by 10 obtains the rate of VFA production/h/L, while multiplication by 24 obtains the rate of VFA production/24 h (i.e. day)/L.

It was assumed that 1 mol tVFA yields 2 moles ATP (Walker 1965), 1 mole ATP produces 13.48 g microbial cells (DM basis) (Borhami *et al.* 1979) and N concentration of microbial cells is 10.5% (Hungate 1965). Rumen digesta weight (kg) was estimated using the colorimetric method of chromium ethylenediaminetetraacetate (Cr-EDTA) according to the method of El-Shazly *et al.* (1976).

Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed according to a randomized block design using the PROC MIXED procedure of SAS (2002) according to the following statistical model:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + D_i + T_j + (D * T)_{ij} + e_{ijk}$$

where:

Y_{ijk} = observation on individual k, μ = overall mean, D_i = fixed effect of the i^{th} diet, T_j = fixed effect of the j^{th} time, $(D * T)_{ij}$ = interaction between diet and time, e_{ijk} = random error = δ^2 . Tukey's test was used for the multiple comparisons among mean values for different treatments.

RESULTS

Treating of *A. halimus* with enzymes reduced its contents of CF, NDF and ADF without affecting CP and EE contents. The combination of ZAD1[®] + ZAD2[®] and ZAD2[®] were more effective in lowering CF, NDF and ADF than ZAD1[®] (Table 2).

Addition of *A. halimus* silage treated with enzymes (D2, D3 and D4) improved the intake as air dried or TDN (g/day) compared to D1 (*A. halimus* silage). However, when compared based on DM, D1 had the highest intake, although enzyme-treated groups (D2, D3 and D4) decreased ($P < 0.001$) it (Table 3). D2 and D3 had almost the same total DM intake; however, D2, D3 and D4 almost had the same TDN/total DM intake compared to D1 which had the lowest ($P < 0.001$) percent. All treated diets improved ($P = 0.032$) the intake of air dried *A. halimus* silage. All

Table 2 Chemical composition of ingredients used in the experimental diets (g/kg dry matter)

	Barley	<i>Atriplex halimus</i> silage	<i>A. halimus</i> silage treated with ZAD1 [®]	<i>A. halimus</i> silage treated with ZAD2 [®]	<i>A. halimus</i> silage treated with ZAD1 [®] + ZAD2 [®]
Organic matter	960.0	766.0	760.0	761.0	759.0
Crude protein	118.9	117.4	115.2	114.4	113.7
Crude fiber	86.3	248.2	226.5	222.5	224.9
Neutral detergent fiber	189.0	551.8	403.0	406.0	401.2
Acid detergent fiber	72.0	339.6	253.0	258.0	250.2
Ether extract	29.3	19.2	19.3	19.2	18.8
Nitrogen free extract	725.3	380.7	399.2	404.4	401.8

Table 3 Feed intake, nutrient digestibility and nitrogen utilization of sheep fed† *Atriplex halimus* silage treated with enzymes and barley

Items	<i>A. halimus</i> silage and 300 g barley (D1)	ZAD1® and 300 g barley (D2)	<i>A. halimus</i> silage treated with enzymes of		SEM	P-value
			ZAD2® and 300 g barley (D3)	ZAD1® + ZAD2® and 300 g barley (D4)		
<i>A. halimus</i> intake, g/day						
Air dried	571.8 ^c	1106.6 ^a	1091.5 ^{ab}	978.3 ^b	19.16	0.032
Dry matter (DM)	510.7 ^a	390.8 ^b	390.1 ^b	346.1 ^c	16.72	< .0001
Total DM intake	776.7 ^a	656.8 ^b	656.1 ^b	612.1 ^b	17.73	< .0001
Total TDN intake, g/day	407.0 ^a	374.8 ^b	383.2 ^{ab}	350.6 ^b	15.45	< .0001
TDN/Total DM intake, %	52.4 ^b	57.1 ^a	58.4 ^a	57.3 ^a	2.23	< .0001
Digestibility, %						
Dry matter	57.9 ^b	62.1 ^a	63.8 ^a	61.8 ^a	2.88	< .0001
Organic matter	60.8 ^b	65.2 ^a	66.8 ^a	65.2 ^a	2.60	< .0001
Crude protein	43.5 ^c	53.2 ^b	56.6 ^a	55.7 ^{ab}	3.65	< .0001
Crude fiber	39.8 ^c	49.5 ^b	52.7 ^a	50.7 ^{ab}	2.40	< .0001
Neutral detergent fiber	55.8 ^c	60.2 ^b	62.2 ^{ab}	65.0 ^a	2.03	< .0001
Ether extract	64.6 ^c	74.8 ^{ab}	76.1 ^a	72.3 ^b	3.80	< .0001
Nitrogen free extract	72.8	72.5	73.0	71.3	3.70	0.0016
Nitrogen utilization, g/day						
Nitrogen intake	14.7 ^a	12.26 ^b	12.2 ^b	11.35 ^c	1.02	< .0001
Nitrogen retention	0.81 ^c	2.08 ^b	2.65 ^a	2.34 ^{ab}	0.06	< .0001
Nitrogen retention /Nitrogen absorption	12.7 ^c	31.96 ^b	40.2 ^a	37.04 ^a	3.12	< .0001

†All diets supplemented with 300 g air-dried barley per head per day or 266 g DM/head/day. ^{a,b,c}Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

enzyme-treated diets (D2, D3 and D4) improved ($P < 0.001$) OM, CP, CF, NDF and EE digestibilities compared with D1. No differences ($P = 0.0016$) were observed for NFE digestibility between different diets. The D3 tended to show higher nutrient digestibility than D2 and D4. Feeding *A. halimus* silage treated with different enzymes (D2, D3 and D4) to sheep lowered ($P < 0.001$) nitrogen retention (NR) and nitrogen retention /nitrogen absorption (NA) ratio (NR/NA) compared to untreated *A. halimus* silage (D1) which increased ($P < 0.001$) nitrogen intake (NI) compared to other diets (Table 3).

No differences ($P > 0.05$) were observed for ruminal pH among the dietary treatments. Sheep fed on ZAD2® treated *A. halimus* silage (D3) showed numerically higher values for all measured rumen fermentation parameters. Animals fed on ZAD2® + ZAD2® treated *A. halimus* silage (D4) had higher rumen fermentation parameters than ZAD1® treated or untreated *A. halimus* silage. The concentration of ruminal tVFA, NH₃-N, and microbial protein production was increased with advancing of time to reach the maximum value around 3 h after feeding and then later decreased (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Composition of enzymes in treated or untreated *A. halimus* silage

Treating forages like *A. halimus* with exogenous fibrolytic enzymes is accompanied, in most cases, with

degradation of various fiber fractions. In our study, ZAD1®, ZAD2® and their combination reduced CF content by 8.7, 10.4 and 9.4%; NDF by 27, 26.4 and 27.3%; ADF by 25.5, 24 and 26.3%, respectively. This may be due to reduction of structural polysaccharide fractions (Facchini *et al.* 2011). The difference in response between ZAD1® and ZAD2® or their combination depends on their different enzyme contents (Table 1). Gado *et al.* (2009) showed that ensiling rice straw, bagasse and corn stalks with ZAD® decreased the CF in a range of 30.3% to 36.6%. The present study showed that enzyme-treated or untreated *A. halimus* silage can be used as a basal diet for ruminant production in arid and semi-arid regions (Haddi *et al.* 2009; Otal *et al.* 2010).

Feed intake, nutrient digestibility and N utilization

Feeding *A. halimus* silage treated with enzymes (i.e. D2, D3 and D4) to sheep increased its air dried intake. This may be due to increased palatability of the diet due to sugars released by pre-ingestive fiber hydrolysis. However, post-ingestive enzyme effects, such as increased digestion rate or extent of digestion (Krueger *et al.* 2008) may increase hydrolytic activity in the rumen to reduce gut fill and enhance feed intake (Adesogan 2005). In contrast, comparing feed intake on a DM basis, feeding *A. halimus* silage treated with ZAD1® or ZAD2® caused a decreased total DM intake. This may be due to the moisture concentration

Table 4 Ruminal pH, total volatile fatty acids (tVFA), NH₃-N and microbial protein production at different times of incubation of sheep fed† *Atriplex halimus* silage treated with enzymes and barley

Time after feeding (h)	<i>A. halimus</i> silage and 300 g barley (D1)	<i>A. halimus</i> silage treated with enzymes of			SEM	<i>P</i> -value
		ZAD1 [®] and 300 g barley (D2)	ZAD2 [®] and 300 g barley (D3)	ZAD1 [®] + ZAD2 [®] and 300 g barley (D4)		
pH						
0	6.91	6.79	6.79	6.83	0.06	
1	6.63	6.56	6.61	6.67	0.06	
3	6.32	6.28	6.28	6.31	0.05	
6	6.76	6.68	6.65	6.7	0.06	
Mean	6.60	6.58	6.58	6.63	0.04	0.8138
tVFA, mmol/100 mL rumen liquor						
0	6.53	7.79	8.15	8.03	0.44	
1	7.77	8.82	9.18	8.95	0.48	
3	9.65	11.56	12.41	12.1	0.44	
6	7.66	8.4	8.8	8.66	0.49	
Mean	7.90 ^c	9.14 ^b	9.64 ^a	9.44 ^{ab}	0.41	< .0001
NH ₃ -N, mg N/100 mL rumen liquor						
0	8.96	12.08	12.51	12.16	0.36	
1	10.02	13.44	14.64	13.66	0.39	
3	11.93	15.36	16.86	15.69	0.38	
6	9.66	13.18	14.18	13.34	0.39	
Mean	10.14 ^c	13.52 ^b	14.55 ^a	13.71 ^{ab}	0.31	0.0071
Microbial protein production (g/day)						
0	15.52	19.86	28.09	20.92	3.48	
1	19.65	24.67	38.88	28.31	3.41	
3	32.44	41.64	57.97	43.79	3.62	
6	15.64	21.92	31.25	23.58	3.61	
Mean	20.81 ^c	27.02 ^b	39.05 ^a	29.15 ^b	3.42	0.0003

†All diets supplemented with 300 g air-dried barley per head per day or 266 g DM/head/day. ^{a,b,c}Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

of silage (up to 60% moisture) which may increase the satiety of sheep, resulting a decreased feed intake (Forbes 2007). However, Khattab *et al.* (2011) found that diets treated with the same enzyme preparations did not affect feed intake. Intake of TDN of sheep fed enzyme-treated *A. halimus* silage (i.e. D2, D3 and D4) was lower than for those fed *A. halimus* silage untreated with enzymes (i.e. D1). However, when the TDN intake was compared as a percent from total DM intake, the results may be completely reversed. At the same time, comparing obtained TDN intake (%) for enzyme-untreated or treated *A. halimus* silage showed that these values were higher than the tabular value from NRC (1994) for daily maintenance requirements from TDN intake (%) which is about 54.5% TDN at 45 kg of body weight. This may give an indication for sufficient energy allowances for the animals in different treatments.

A. halimus silage treated with ZAD2[®] showed numerically highest values for nutrient digestibility with the exception of NDF and NFE. The relatively higher digestibilities with ZAD2[®] than ZAD1[®] + ZAD2[®] may be related to its enzyme contents. ZAD2[®] contains higher xylanase, cellulase and α -amylase than ZAD1[®] which encourages pre-ingestive fiber hydrolysis. Addition of both enzymes together was less effective than

ZAD2[®]. This is related with higher enzyme concentrations when both enzymes were added; resulting in preventing binding of enzymes to substrate receptors, which reduced proportional attachment by ruminal microorganisms to fiber (Treacher & Hunt 1996). These results are similar to other reports that had shown an increase in nutrient digestibility with the same exogenous fibrolytic enzymes of ZAD1[®] and ZAD2[®] (Khattab *et al.* 2011; Kholif *et al.* 2012). Exogenous fibrolytic enzymes would increase fiber digestion by many mechanisms, increasing the rate of ruminal digestion of the potentially digestible fiber (Yang *et al.* 1999), reducing digesta viscosity (Hristov *et al.* 2000) and alterations in ruminal fermentation (Nsereko *et al.* 2002). It also enhances attachment and colonization to the plant cell wall by ruminal microorganisms (Wang *et al.* 2001; Chung *et al.* 2012) or by synergism between exogenous enzymes and enzymes in rumen fluid (Eun *et al.* 2006). They demonstrated a synergism between exogenous enzymes and ruminal enzymes such that the net combined hydrolytic effect in the rumen was much greater than that estimated from individual enzyme activities. Moreover, Wang *et al.* (2001) reported that supplementation diets with enzymes increased numbers of non-fibrolytic and fibrolytic bacteria in a batch culture system with

rumen fluid. Stimulation of rumen microbial numbers by the use of enzymes could result in higher microbial biomass, which would provide more polysaccharidase activity to digest different feeds and degrade secondary metabolites. Although this possibility may not be supported by Nsereko *et al.* (2002) or Krueger *et al.* (2008), who showed that while cellobiose and glucose utilizing bacteria were stimulated, effects on the fibrolytic population were negligible. Our results indicate that enzyme supplementation could increase the quantity of microbial protein available to animal metabolism, and this may increase fiber digestibility and the metabolizable energy density of diets.

Although addition of enzyme-treated *A. halimus* silage had decreased ($P < 0.001$) N intake per DM consumption, with improving ($P < 0.001$) CP digestibility especially with ZAD2[®] and ZAD1[®] + ZAD2[®], nitrogen retention was higher than the other diets. This is an indication of improvements in utilization of N in tree fodder forages as a result of application of the exogenous fibrolytic enzymes being contained in ZAD2[®].

Ruminal fermentation and microbial protein synthesis

Addition of ZAD2[®] and ZAD1[®] + ZAD2[®] treated *A. halimus* silage improved ($P < 0.001$) the production of tVFA and NH₃-N. The increase in ruminal tVFA and NH₃-N suggested that ZAD2[®] and ZAD1[®] + ZAD2[®] treated *A. halimus* silage was more efficient and yielded more tVFA and NH₃-N than control. Results of tVFA suggested that feeding of ZAD2[®] treated *A. halimus* silage improved anaerobic ruminal fermentation which stimulated it to yield more VFA. This improved yield of VFA may be due to the increases of OM digestibility in treated diets, especially D3. Increased NH₃-N concentration in animals fed ZAD2[®] and ZAD1[®] + ZAD2[®] (i.e. D3 and D4) diets supports its capability to enhance rumen protein degradation, probably because of its protease enzyme contents (Gado *et al.* 2009; Khattab *et al.* 2011). It may be also due to the fact that exogenous enzymes had a synergism with endogenous enzymes, especially the proteolytic enzyme, or enhanced the activity of microorganisms.

Rumen microbial protein of sheep was improved by feeding *A. halimus* silage treated with ZAD2[®] or ZAD1[®] + ZAD2[®]. It is well known that microbial protein synthesis is a good indicator of beneficial effect of feed utilization. Microbial protein has the most significant impact on both quantity and quality of metabolizable protein absorbed from the small intestine. These results suggest that *A. halimus* silage treated with ZAD2[®] or ZAD1[®] + ZAD2[®] may be more efficient for enhancing fiber digestibility, resulting in provision of more nutrients for ruminal microorganisms which are beneficial for the growth of microbes.

Conclusions

Feeding *A. halimus* silage treated with ZAD1[®] and ZAD2[®], separately or in combination, to sheep improved digestibility coefficients, nitrogen retention and utilization and rumen fermentation (tVFA and NH₃-N), as well as microbial protein production. The enzyme cocktail of ZAD2[®] was more effective than ZAD1[®] followed by ZAD1[®] + ZAD2[®] combination.

However, there is a need for further studies on the effect of these exogenous enzymes on ruminal microbial populations, animal performance properties and the mechanisms of actions between ZAD1[®] and ZAD2[®] with endogenous enzymes and microbes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge the IAEA, Vienna, Austria, Research Contract number MEX16307 within the D3.10.27 Coordinated Research Project. [Correction added on 9 October 2014, after first online publication: Acknowledgement section has been added.]

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Rahman HH, Mohamed MI, Gehad AEA, Awadallah IM. 2006. Ameliorating the anti-nutritional factors effect in *Atriplex halimus* on sheep and goats by ensiling or polyethylene glycol supplementation. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* **8**, 766–769.
- Adesogan AT. 2005. Improving forage quality and animal performance with fibrolytic enzymes. *Florida Ruminants Nutrition Symposium*, pp. 91–109. Gainesville, FL.
- Aharonson Z, Shani J, Sulman F. 1969. Hypoglycaemic effect of the salt bush (*Atriplex halimus*) — a feeding source of the Sand Rat (*Psammomys obesus*). *Diabetologia* **5**, 379–383.
- Al-Owaimer AN, El-Waziry AM, Koohmaraie M, Zahran SM. 2011. The use of ground date pits and *Atriplex halimus* as alternative feeds for sheep. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* **5**, 1154–1161.
- Al-Rabbat MF, Baldwin RL, Weir WC. 1971. *In vitro* ¹⁵Nitrogen-tracer technique for some kinetic measures of ruminal ammonia. *Journal of Dairy Science* **54**, 1150–1161.
- AOAC. 1997. *Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis*, 16th edn. AOAC, Arlington, VA, USA.
- Borhami BE, El-Shazly K, Abou-Akkada AR, Naga MA, Abaza AM. 1979. Nitrogen (N¹⁵) utilization and microbial protein synthesis in the rumen of cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* **49**, 1306–1311.
- Borhami BE, Fahmy WG, El-Shazly K. 1992. Rumen environment microbial protein synthesis and nitrogen balance in sheep. In: A Proceeding of 'Manipulation of rumen microorganisms'. Inter. Conf. Alexandria, Egypt.
- Carroll EJ, Hungate RE. 1954. The magnitude of microbial fermentation in the bovine rumen. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **2**, 205–214.
- Chung YH, Zhou M, Holtshausen L, Alexander TW, McAllister TA, Guan LL, *et al.* 2012. A fibrolytic enzyme additive for lactating Holstein cow diets: ruminal fermentation, rumen microbial populations, and enteric methane emissions. *Journal of Dairy Science* **95**, 1419–1427.
- Elghandour MMY, Salem AZM, Ronquillo M, Bórquez JL, Gado HM, Odongo NE, Peñuelas CG. 2013. Effect of

- exogenous enzymes on *in vitro* gas production kinetics and ruminal fermentation of four fibrous feeds. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **179**, 46–53.
- El-Shazly K, Ahmed EIA, Naga MA, Borhami BEA. 1976. A colorimetric technique using chromium-ethylene diamine tetra acetate for measuring rumen volume. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **87**, 369–373.
- El-Shazly K, Hungate RE. 1965. Fermentation capacity as a measure of net growth of rumen microorganisms. *Applied Microbiology* **13**, 62–69.
- Eun JS, Beauchemin KA, Hong SH, Bauer MW. 2006. Exogenous enzymes added to untreated or ammoniated rice straw: effects on *in vitro* fermentation characteristics and degradability. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **131**, 87–102.
- Facchini FD, Vici AC, Benassi VM, Freitas LA, Reis RA, Jorge JA, *et al.* 2011. Optimization of fibrolytic enzyme production by *Aspergillus japonicus* C03 with potential application in ruminant feed and their effects on tropical forages hydrolysis. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering* **34**, 1027–1038.
- Forbes JM. 2007. *Voluntary Food Intake and Diet Selection of Farm Animals*. CABI Publishers, Wallingford, UK.
- Gado HM, Salem AZM, Odongo NE, Borhami BE. 2011. Influence of exogenous enzymes ensiled with orange pulp on digestion and growth performance in lambs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **165**, 131–136.
- Gado HM, Salem AZM, Robinson PH, Hassan M. 2009. Influence of exogenous enzymes on nutrient digestibility, extent of ruminal fermentation as well as milk production and composition in dairy cows. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **154**, 36–46.
- Gado MH. 1997. Effect of enzymatic treatments for poor quality roughage on fibre digestibility and nitrogen metabolism in baladi goats. *Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds* **1**, 49–56.
- Giraldo LA, Tejido ML, Ranilla MJ, Carro MD. 2008. Effects of exogenous fibrolytic enzymes on *in vitro* ruminal fermentation of substrates with different forage:concentrate ratios. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **141**, 306–325.
- Haddi ML, Arab H, Yacoub F, Hornick JL, Rollin F, Mehennaoui S. 2009. Seasonal changes in chemical composition and *in vitro* gas production of six plants from Eastern Algerian arid regions. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 21, Article #47. [cited 21 May 2014]. Available from URL: <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd21/4/hadd21047.htm>
- Holtshausen L, Chung YH, Gerardo-Cuervo H, Oba M, Beauchemin KA. 2011. Improved milk production efficiency in early lactation dairy cattle with dietary addition of a developmental fibrolytic enzyme additive. *Journal of Dairy Science* **94**, 899–907.
- Hristov AN, McAllister TA, Cheng KJ. 2000. Intraruminal supplementation with increasing levels of exogenous polysaccharide-degrading enzymes: effects on nutrient digestion in cattle fed a barley grain diet. *Journal of Animal Science* **78**, 477–487.
- Hungate RE. 1965. *The Rumen and Its Microbes*. Academic Press, New York.
- Khattab HM, Gado HM, Kholif AE, Mansour AM, Kholif AM. 2011. The potential of feeding goats sun dried rumen contents with or without bacterial inoculums as replacement for berseem clover and the effects on milk production and animal health. *International Journal of Dairy Science* **6**, 267–277.
- Kholif SM, Gado HM, Morsy TA, El-Bordeny N, Abedo AA. 2012. Influence of exogenous enzymes on nutrient digestibility, blood composition, milk production and its composition as well as milk fatty acids profile in dairy buffaloes. *Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds* **15**, 13–22.
- Krueger NA, Adesogan AT, Staples CR, Krueger WK, Kim SC, Littell RC, Sollenberger LE. 2008. Effect of method of applying fibrolytic enzymes or ammonia to Bermuda grass hay on feed intake, digestion, and growth of beef steers. *Journal of Animal Science* **86**, 882–889.
- Le Houerou HN. 1992. The role of saltbushes (*Atriplex* spp) in arid land rehabilitation in the Mediterranean Basin: a review. *Agroforestry Systems* **18**, 107–148.
- Makkar HPS. 2003. Effects and fate of tannins in ruminant animals, adaptation to tannins and strategies to overcome detrimental effects of tannin rich feeds. *Small Ruminant Research* **49**, 241–256.
- Mayberry DE, Masters DG, Vercoe P. 2008. What is the optimal level of barley to feed sheep grazing saltbush? In: *2nd International Salinity Forum*. Salinity, Water and Society-global Issues, Local Action, Adelaide, South Australia, CD rom.
- Miller DR, Elliott R, Norton BW. 2008a. Effects of an exogenous enzyme, Roxazyme® G2, on intake, digestion and utilisation of sorghum and barley grain-based diets by beef steers. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **145**, 159–181.
- Miller DR, Granzin BC, Elliott R, Norton BW. 2008b. Effects of an exogenous enzyme, Roxazyme® G2 Liquid, on milk production in pasture fed dairy cows. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **145**, 194–208.
- Norman HC, Dynes RA, Rintoul AJ, Wilmot MG, Masters DG. 2004. Sheep production from saline land – productivity from old man and river saltbush and the value of grain and straw supplements. *Proceedure of Australian Society of Animal Production* **25**, 289–289.
- Norman HC, Masters DG, Wilmot MG, Rintoul AJ. 2008. Effect of supplementation with grain, hay or straw on the performance of weaner Merino sheep grazing old man (*Atriplex nummularia*) or river (*Atriplex amnicola*) saltbush. *Grass and Forage Science* **63**, 179–192.
- NRC. 1994. *Nutrient Requirements of Sheep*, 6th Revised edn. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- NRC. 2001. *Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle*, 7th Revised edn. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- Nsereko VL, Beauchemin KA, Morgavi DP, Rode LM, Furtado AF. 2002. Effect of a fibrolytic enzyme preparation from *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* on the rumen microbial population of dairy cows. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **48**, 14–20.
- Otal J, Orengo J, Quiles A, Hevia ML, Fuentes F. 2010. Characterization of edible biomass of *Atriplex halimus* L. and its effect on feed and water intakes, and on blood mineral profile in non-pregnant Manchega-breed sheep. *Small Ruminant Research* **91**, 208–214.
- Pearce KL, Norman HC, Hopkins DL. 2010. The role of saltbush-based pasture systems for the production of high quality sheep and goat meat. *Small Ruminant Research* **91**, 29–38.
- Salem AZM, Gado HM, Colombatto D, Eghandour MMY. 2013. Effect of exogenous enzymes on nutrient digestibility, ruminal fermentation and growth performance in beef steers. *Livestock Science* **154**, 69–73.

- Salem AZM, Hassan AA, Khalil MS, Gado HM, Alserisy H, Simbaya J. 2012. Effects of sun-drying and exogenous enzymes on nutrients intake, digestibility and nitrogen utilization in sheep fed *Atriplex halimus* foliages. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **171**, 128–135.
- Salem AZM, Salem MZM, El-Adawy MM, Robinson PH. 2006. Nutritive evaluations of some browse tree foliages during the dry season: secondary compounds, feed intake and *in vivo* digestibility in sheep and goats. *Livestock Science* **127**, 251–267.
- SAS. 2002. *SAS User's Guide: Statistics*. Ver 9.0. p. 956. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA.
- Treacher RJ, Hunt CW. 1996. Recent developments in feed enzymes for ruminant rations. pp. 37–54. In: *Proceeding Pacific Northwest Animal Nutrition Conference*, Seattle, WA, USA.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. 1991. Methods for dietary fibre, neutral detergent fibre, and non-starch carbohydrates in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science* **74**, 3583–3597.
- Walker DJ. 1965. Energy metabolism and rumen microorganisms. In: *Physiology of Digestion in the Ruminants*. Butterworth Inc., Washington, DC.
- Wang Y, McAllister TA, Rode LM, Beauchemin KA, Morgavi DP, Nsereko VL, *et al.* 2001. Effects of an exogenous enzyme preparation on microbial protein synthesis, enzyme activity and attachment to feed in the rumen simulation technique (Rusitec). *British Journal of Nutrition* **85**, 325–332.
- Warner AC. 1964. Production of volatile fatty acids in the rumen: methods of measurement. *Nutrition Abstracts and Reviews* **34**, 339–352.
- Yang WZ, Beauchemin KA, Rode LM. 1999. Effects of an enzyme feed additive on extent of digestion and milk production of lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **82**, 391–403.