

Syntheses and Crystal Structures of Mn(II), Ni(II) and Cu(II) Coordination Compounds Assembled by Maleato and Dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridines


Nadia Morales-Morales, Mariana Rodríguez-Olivas, Antonio Téllez-López, Diego Martínez-Otero, Raúl A. Morales-Luckie, et al.

Journal of Chemical Crystallography

ISSN 1074-1542

J Chem Crystallogr

DOI 10.1007/s10870-018-0731-5



Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



Syntheses and Crystal Structures of Mn(II), Ni(II) and Cu(II) Coordination Compounds Assembled by Maleato and Dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridines

Nadia Morales-Morales¹ · Mariana Rodríguez-Olivas¹ · Antonio Téllez-López¹ · Diego Martínez-Otero² · Raúl A. Morales-Luckie² · Víctor Sánchez-Mendieta^{1,2}

Received: 8 May 2018 / Accepted: 4 September 2018
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Three complexes: {[Mn(H₂O)(mal)(5dmb)·H₂O]_n} (**1**); [Ni₂(H₂O)₆(mal)₂(4dmb)₂]·3H₂O (**2**); [Cu₂(mal)₂(4dmb)₂]·3H₂O (**3**); where mal = maleato, 4dmb = 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine, and 5dmb = 5,5'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine; have been synthesized, using self-assembly solution reactions at ambient conditions. Crystallographic studies show that **1** crystallizes in an orthorhombic system, space group Pna2₁, with $a = 17.4067(4)$ Å, $b = 11.9672(2)$ Å, $c = 8.2075(2)$ Å; $V = 1709.70(6)$ Å³. Complex **2** has a monoclinic system, space group C2/c, with $a = 21.206(8)$ Å, $b = 7.523(3)$ Å, $c = 25.399(10)$ Å; $\beta = 109.755(8)^\circ$; $V = 3813(2)$ Å³. Complex **3** crystallizes in a monoclinic system, space group C2/c, with $a = 14.6976(12)$ Å, $b = 11.3849(10)$ Å, $c = 22.1638(18)$ Å; $\beta = 101.2998(17)^\circ$; $V = 3636.8(5)$ Å³. Complex **1** is a one-dimensional (1D) polymer, where the Mn centers are six-coordinated in a distorted octahedral geometry. **2** is a dinuclear complex, generated by supramolecular interactions, where Ni ions are six-coordinated in a distorted octahedral geometry. **3** is a dinuclear complex with five-coordinated Cu ions having a distorted square pyramidal geometry. All three complexes exhibit hydrogen bonding interactions, which generate 2D supramolecular structures in **1** and **2**, whereas in complex **3** a 3D supramolecular array is formed. These novel complexes prove that the self-assembly of a dicarboxylate ligand (mal) with three different first-row transition metals, can afford coordination compounds with diverse structural characteristics and dimensionality, which can be attributed to the different ligand coordination modes and the coordination properties of the employed metals.


✉ Víctor Sánchez-Mendieta
vsanchezm@uaemex.mx

¹ Facultad de Química, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Paseo Colón y Paseo Toluca, 50120 Toluca, Mexico State, Mexico

² Centro Conjunto de Investigación en Química Sustentable UAEM-UNAM, Carretera Toluca-Ixtlahuaca Km. 14.5, Tlaxaloya, Toluca, Mexico State, Mexico

Graphical Abstract

Divergent coordination compounds of three different transition metals have been obtained due to the versatility in the coordination modes of maleato ligand.



Keywords Mn coordination polymer · Ni dinuclear complex · Cu dinuclear complex · Maleate · Dialkyl-2,2'-bipyridine

Introduction

The rational design of hybrid metal–organic polymeric and supramolecular arrays, based on crystal engineering, has gained considerable interest in recent years in coordination chemistry, supramolecular chemistry and materials science, as these structures can get fascinating arrays and can become functional materials [1]. Several strategies have been developed to synthesize metal mixed ligands coordination polymers of bivalent transition metals containing nitrogen and oxygen donor ligands [2]. One of the most used and reliable methods to generate complex compounds is the so called self-assembly. This straightforward procedure allows the preparation of both, discrete and extended coordination systems at ambient conditions. Perhaps, the only relative disadvantage of this kind of synthesis is that a precise prediction of the resultant structure can be difficult to make. This uncertainty is the result of a mixture of factors, which start with the presence of solvent molecules, type of ligands (e.g. hydrogen bonding, π – π interactions), aqua ligands, and as a consequence of the interactions of ligands and solvent molecules, mainly, supramolecular arrays come out [3]. These supramolecular arrays can influence the coordination sphere and also the final crystalline structure of the coordination

compounds; lately, supramolecular coordination arrays have been studied widely due to important properties, which can result in relevant applications such as catalysis, sensing, porosity and non-linear optics [4–6]. Carboxylates used as ion metal linkers can afford 1D, 2D or 3D polymers depending mainly on their coordination modes and, of course, on the metal ion involved [7, 8]. Therefore, in our continuing investigations on novel transition metal coordination complexes and polymers with interesting luminescent and magnetic properties [9–11], we are now involved in the generation of synthons bearing metal ions and carboxylate ligands, which eventually can function as linkers in the formation of heteronuclear coordination polymers. Maleic acid, as maleate, has been employed previously as bridging ligand in the synthesis of coordination polymers [12, 13]. Moreover, the use of bipyridine type co-ligands has long been known due to the capacity of these ligands to promote stability and crystallization of coordination complexes and polymers [14]. Up to now, few articles have been published on the use of different di-alkyl-2,2'-bipyridines as ancillary ligands, either in transition metal complexes [15] or coordination polymers [16, 17].

Herein, we describe the synthesis and crystalline molecular and supramolecular structures of three novel complexes

of Mn(II), Ni(II) and Cu(II), **1–3**, respectively, employing maleato and dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridines as ligands.

(m), 1249 (m), 1199 (s), 1030 (m), 988 (m), 910 (m), 842 (s), 689 (w), 636 (w), 564 (w).

Experimental

Materials and Measurements

All chemicals were of analytical grade, purchased commercially (Aldrich) and used without further purification. All syntheses were carried out under aerobic and ambient conditions. Elemental analyses for C, H, N were obtained by standard methods using a Vario Micro-Cube analyzer. IR spectra of the complexes were determined in a FT-IR Shimadzu spectrophotometer, IR Prestige-21, from 4000 to 500 cm^{-1} .

Synthesis of 1–3

Synthesis of 1

A solution of maleic acid (0.0580 g; 0.5 mmol) in methanol (5 ml) was added to an aqueous solution (5 ml) of sodium hydroxide (0.0400 g; 1 mmol), while stirring. Then, a solution of $\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.0985 g; 0.5 mmol) in deionized water (5 ml) was added, under constant stirring. Finally, a solution of 5,5'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine (0.0921 g; 0.5 mmol) in methanol (5 ml) was added. A translucent yellow solution was obtained. After 3 days, the yellow crystals obtained were filtered out, washed with a 50:50 deionized water–methanol mixture and air dried. Yield: 63% based on metal precursor. Elemental analysis (%), $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{18}\text{MnN}_2\text{O}_6$, calc. C, 49.37; H, 4.66; N, 7.19; found: C, 43.54; found: C, 49.09; H, 4.60; N, 7.13. IR (cm^{-1}): 3444 (s), 3286 (s, br), 3055 (s), 2924 (m), 1682 (s, sh), 1639 (s), 1597 (vs), 1558 (vs), 1539 (vs), 1447 (s), 1439 (s), 1396 (s), 1323 (s), 1242 (s), 1165 (m), 1045 (m), 980 (m), 860 (s), 837 (s), 810 (m), 733 (m), 636 (s), 575 (m).

Synthesis of 2

A solution of 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine (0.0921 g; 0.5 mmol) in methanol (5 ml) was added to a solution of maleic acid (0.0580 g; 0.5 mmol) while stirring. Then, a deionized water solution (10 ml) of $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.1453 g; 0.5 mmol) was added. A light-blue translucent solution was obtained. After 2 days, blue crystals were achieved; these were filtered out and washed with de-ionized water. Yield: 65% based on metal precursor. Elemental analysis (%), $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{46}\text{N}_4\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{17}$, calc. C, 43.87; H, 5.29; N, 6.39; found: C, 43.54; H, 5.15; N, 6.47. IR (cm^{-1}): 3410 (vs, br), 3080 (s), 2961 (m), 2921 (m), 1650 (vs, sh), 1600 (vs), 1583 (vs), 1491 (m), 1450 (m), 1410 (s), 1380 (s, sh), 1311 (m), 1289

Synthesis of 3

A solution of maleic acid (0.0580 g; 0.5 mmol) in methanol (5 ml) was added to an aqueous solution (5 ml) of sodium hydroxide (0.0400 g; 1 mmol), while stirring. Then, a solution of $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.1162 g; 0.5 mmol) in deionized water (5 ml) was added, under constant stirring. Finally, a solution of 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine (0.0921 g; 0.5 mmol) in methanol (5 ml) was added. A translucent dark blue solution was obtained. After 5 days, the blue crystals so obtained were filtered out, washed with a 50:50 deionized water–methanol mixture and air dried. Yield: 59% based on metal precursor. Elemental analysis (%), $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{40}\text{Cu}_2\text{N}_4\text{O}_{14}$, calc. C, 46.20; H, 4.84; N, 6.74; found: C, 46.09; H, 4.80; N, 6.77. IR (cm^{-1}): 3406 (vs, br), 3082 (s), 2958 (s), 2920 (m), 1651 (vs, sh), 1601 (vs), 1581 (vs), 1493 (m), 1446 (s), 1412 (s), 1385 (s), 1308 (s), 1288 (m), 1246 (m), 1196 (s), 1030 (s), 987 (m), 914 (m), 910 (s), 841 (s), 683 (m), 640 (s), 598 (m), 563 (m), 521 (m).

X-Ray Crystallography

Crystallographic data for **1–3** were collected on a Bruker APEX II CCD Diffractometer, at 293 K using Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$) from an Incoatec ImuS source and Helios optic monochromator [18]. Suitable crystals were coated with hydrocarbon oil (Parabar) for isolation, mounted in a glass fiber, fixed with glue and put on the goniometer head of the diffractometer for the analysis. The structures were solved using intrinsic phasing (SHELXT) [19] and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F2 [19] using the shelXle GUI [20]. The hydrogen atoms of the C–H bonds were placed in idealized positions whereas the hydrogen atoms from water molecules were localized from the difference electron density map, and their position was refined with Uiso tied to the parent atom with distance restraints. In compound **1**, the hydrogens of one methyl group present positional disorder in two positions, which was treated using the afix 123 instruction. In compound **3**, the hydrogens of water molecule, whose oxygen atoms were labeled as O6 and O7, exhibit positional disorder in two positions, the hydrogens were localized from the difference electron density map and fixed to a standard distances, the occupation were fixed to 0.5. This positional disorder establishes two different types of arrangements in the crystal by hydrogen bonds. The crystallographic data and refinement details for the complexes are summarized in Table 1. Selected bond lengths, angles and hydrogen bonding interactions for **1–3** are listed in Tables 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Chemical

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for **1–3**

	1	2	3
Empirical formula	C ₁₆ H ₁₈ MnN ₂ O ₆	C ₃₂ H ₄₆ N ₄ Ni ₂ O ₁₇	C ₃₂ H ₄₀ Cu ₂ N ₄ O ₁₄
Formula weight	389.26	876.15	831.76
Temperature (K)	293(2)		
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073		
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Monoclinic	
Space group	<i>Pna2</i> ₁	<i>C2/c</i>	
a (Å)	17.4067(4)	21.206(8)	14.6976(12)
b (Å)	11.9672(2)	7.523(3)	11.3849(10)
c (Å)	8.2075(2)	25.399(10)	22.1638(18)
α (°)	90	90	90
β (°)	90	109.755(8)	101.2998(17)
γ (°)	90	90	90
Volume (Å ³)	1709.70(6)	3813(2)	3636.8(5)
Z	4	4	4
D _{calc} (mg/m ³)	1.512	1.526	1.519
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	0.807	1.067	1.241
F(000)	804	1832	1720
Crystal size (mm ³)	0.490 × 0.202 × 0.178	0.709 × 0.352 × 0.284	0.303 × 0.209 × 0.208
Theta range for data collection (°)	2.340–26.355	1.704–26.367	2.280–26.334
Index ranges	–21 ≤ h ≤ 21, –14 ≤ k ≤ 9, –10 ≤ l ≤ 10	–26 ≤ h ≤ 26, –9 ≤ k ≤ 9, –31 ≤ l ≤ 31	–18 ≤ h ≤ 18, –14 ≤ k ≤ 14, –27 ≤ l ≤ 27
Reflections collected	16,477	18,552	17,796
Independent reflections	3470 [R(int)=0.0166]	3892 [R(int)=0.0224]	3709 [R(int)=0.0168]
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²		
Data/restraints/parameters	3470/7/239	3892/15/279	3709/16/261
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.057	1.057	1.052
Final R indices [I > 2σ(I)]	R1 = 0.0186, wR2 = 0.0517	R1 = 0.0242, wR2 = 0.0644	R1 = 0.0246, wR2 = 0.0704
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0189, wR2 = 0.0519	R1 = 0.0262, wR2 = 0.0655	R1 = 0.0268, wR2 = 0.0718
Largest diff. peak and hole e Å ⁻³	0.175 and –0.148	0.269 and –0.234	0.250 and –0.288

structures drawings in figures were produced using Mercury software [21].

Results and Discussion

Synthesis

Using a simple methodology of self-assembling reactions, equivalent amounts of maleic acid, the corresponding metal salt, and 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine and 5,5'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine, respectively, were mixed in water–methanol solutions, under ambient conditions. Slow evaporation of solvents yielded single crystals of complexes **1–3**. It is worthwhile mentioning that the syntheses for obtaining single crystals of **1** and **3** were carried out in the same order of addition of reagents; however, for compound **2** the order of addition of the ligands to the metal salt was different, since

following the same procedure as for **1** and **3** yielded only microcrystals. NaOH was used in the syntheses of **1** and **3** for the deprotonation of maleic acid. Actually, to obtain single crystals of **2** the addition of NaOH was not required.

Crystal Structure of **1**

1 Crystallizes in an orthorhombic system with a *Pna2*₁ space group and forms an infinite one dimensional (1D) coordination polymer. The repeat molecular unit of **1** contains one Mn center, one mal ligand, one 5dmb co-ligand and one coordinated water ligand. The coordination environment of Mn is shown in Fig. 1; the metal is six-coordinated and surrounded by four oxygen atoms from two different mal ligands and the aqua ligand, and two nitrogen atoms from one 5dmb ligand. The Mn has a distorted octahedral configuration. The Mn–O bond lengths range from 2.1183(15) to 2.1912(17) Å, while the Mn–N distances are 2.2847(17)

Table 2 Selected bond distances (Å), angles (°) and hydrogen bonding for **1**

Bond lengths (Å)				
Mn(1)–O(4)	2.1183(15)	Mn(1)–N(2)	2.2763(18)	
Mn(1)–O(2)	2.1489(16)	Mn(1)–N(1)	2.2847(17)	
Mn(1)–O(3)#1	2.1523(15)			
Mn(1)–O(1)	2.1912(17)			
Angles (°)				
O(4)–Mn(1)–O(2)	86.61(6)	O(3)#1–Mn(1)–N(2)	104.38(7)	
O(4)–Mn(1)–O(3)#1	168.25(7)	O(1)–Mn(1)–N(2)	92.27(7)	
O(2)–Mn(1)–O(3)#1	84.78(6)	O(4)–Mn(1)–N(1)	99.62(7)	
O(4)–Mn(1)–O(1)	85.37(7)	O(2)–Mn(1)–N(1)	93.37(7)	
O(2)–Mn(1)–O(1)	103.01(7)	O(3)#1–Mn(1)–N(1)	88.87(6)	
O(3)#1–Mn(1)–O(1)	88.80(6)	O(1)–Mn(1)–N(1)	163.17(7)	
O(4)–Mn(1)–N(2)	86.06(6)	N(2)–Mn(1)–N(1)	72.20(6)	
O(2)–Mn(1)–N(2)	162.44(7)			
D–H \cdots A	d(D–H)	d(H \cdots A)	d(D \cdots A)	<(DHA)
O(1)–H(1B) \cdots O(2)#1	0.84(2)	2.43(2)	2.987(2)	125(2)
O(1)–H(1B) \cdots O(3)#3	0.84(2)	2.25(2)	3.047(2)	158(3)
O(1)–H(1C) \cdots O(6)	0.79(2)	1.95(2)	2.742(2)	178(3)
O(6)–H(6A) \cdots O(4)	0.85(2)	2.56(3)	3.129(3)	125(2)
O(6)–H(6A) \cdots O(5)	0.85(2)	1.93(2)	2.770(3)	174(3)
O(6)–H(6B) \cdots O(5)#4	0.86(2)	1.91(2)	2.767(3)	175(3)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

#1 $-x+2, -y+1, z-1/2$; #2 $-x+2, -y+1, z+1/2$; #3 $x, y, z-1$; #4 $-x+2, -y+2, z-1/2$

and 2.2763(18) Å. The bond angles around the metal center range from 72.20(6) to 168.25(7). These values are analogous to those found on similar Mn(II) compounds [22, 23]. In complex **1**, a 1D zig-zag chain is formed due to the combined monodentate and bridging monodentate (to two metal centers), coordination modes of mal (Fig. 2). Hydrogen-bonding interactions in complex **1** are promoted by the presence of the lattice water molecule and the non-coordinated oxygen atom of the mal carboxylate. This is shown in Fig. 3, where the O–H \cdots O interactions involve the lattice water molecule (O6) with each oxygen atom (O5) of the non-coordinated side of one mal ligand, in an intramolecular hydrogen bond. Furthermore, each lattice water molecule (O6) generates a double hydrogen bridge; the one described above, and another with an oxygen atom (O5) of the non-coordinated side of mal ligand belonging to a neighboring 1D polymeric chain (intermolecular hydrogen bonding). In this way, an extended 2D supramolecular array is generated (Fig. 3).

Crystal Structure of **2**

In the crystal structure of **2**, two [Ni(H₂O)₃(C₁₂H₁₂N₂)(C₄H₂O₄)] complex molecules are associated into a dinuclear complex throughout hydrogen bonds formed by the

O4 of the non-coordinated carboxylate of mal and O5 and O6 of aqua ligands (Fig. 4). In this uncommon dinuclear complex formed by supramolecular interactions, each metal center is six-coordinated and surrounded by three coordinated water molecules, two nitrogen atoms from a 4dmb and one oxygen atom from a maleate ligand, yielding thus distorted octahedral coordination geometry. The Ni–O distances are between 2.0468(12) and 2.0904(14) Å, and the Ni–N distances are 2.0598(14) and 2.0583(14). The bond angles around the metal center range from 79.87(6) to 176.88(5). These values are comparable to those found on similar Ni(II) compounds [24, 25]. These high *Z'* structures indicate high energy minima in the crystallization path towards the final thermodynamic crystal. Sometimes the process leading to the formation of these crystals is referred to as “frozen” or interrupted crystallization [3]. In comparison to those structures where the kinetic and thermodynamic forms are the same, complexes having asymmetrical units with more than one molecule (*Z'* > 1) are still considered as rarities in crystallography, since crystal structures with these characteristics are relatively small in number [3, 26]. Furthermore, hydrogen-bonding interactions assemble complex **2** into a 2D supramolecular array with 1D rods of two-row Ni centers joint by lattice water molecules (Fig. 5). These intramolecular and

Table 3 Selected bond distances (Å), angles (°) and hydrogen bonding for **2**

Bond lengths (Å)				
Ni(1)–O(1)	2.0468(12)		Ni(1)–O(7)	2.0768(13)
Ni(1)–N(2)	2.0583(14)		Ni(1)–O(6)	2.0904(14)
Ni(1)–N(1)	2.0598(14)			
Ni(1)–O(5)	2.0611(14)			
Angles (°)				
O(1)–Ni(1)–N(2)	98.00(5)		N(1)–Ni(1)–O(7)	95.38(6)
O(1)–Ni(1)–N(1)	175.36(5)		O(5)–Ni(1)–O(7)	89.51(5)
N(2)–Ni(1)–N(1)	79.87(6)		O(1)–Ni(1)–O(6)	90.90(5)
O(1)–Ni(1)–O(5)	88.95(5)		N(2)–Ni(1)–O(6)	88.13(5)
N(2)–Ni(1)–O(5)	88.80(5)		N(1)–Ni(1)–O(6)	93.14(5)
N(1)–Ni(1)–O(5)	86.89(5)		O(5)–Ni(1)–O(6)	176.88(5)
O(1)–Ni(1)–O(7)	86.63(5)		O(7)–Ni(1)–O(6)	93.59(5)
N(2)–Ni(1)–O(7)	175.04(5)			
D–H \cdots A	d(D–H)	d(H \cdots A)	d(D \cdots A)	\angle (DHA)
O(5)–H(5B) \cdots O(4)#1	0.841(15)	2.033(16)	2.8360(18)	160(2)
O(5)–H(5A) \cdots O(8)#2	0.841(14)	1.870(14)	2.709(2)	175.4(19)
O(6)–H(6B) \cdots O(2)	0.852(14)	1.907(15)	2.734(2)	163(2)
O(6)–H(6A) \cdots O(4)#3	0.827(14)	1.882(15)	2.7014(17)	170.5(19)
O(7)–H(7B) \cdots O(4)	0.824(14)	2.125(15)	2.9489(19)	179(2)
O(7)–H(7A) \cdots O(3)#3	0.844(14)	1.890(14)	2.7297(19)	173.4(19)
O(8)–H(8B) \cdots O(3)#4	0.840(15)	2.139(16)	2.971(2)	171(2)
O(8)–H(8A) \cdots O(2)	0.841(15)	1.879(16)	2.701(2)	165(2)
O(9)–H(9A) \cdots O(3)#5	0.850(12)	2.114(10)	2.9579(19)	172(2)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

#1 $-x + 3/2, y + 1/2, -z + 3/2$; #2 $x, y + 1, z$; #3 $-x + 3/2, y - 1/2, -z + 3/2$; #4 $x, y - 1, z$; #5 $x + 1/2, y - 1/2, z$ **Table 4** Selected bond distances (Å), angles (°) and hydrogen bonding for **3**

Bond lengths (Å)				
Cu(1)–O(3)	1.9230(12)		Cu(1)–N(1)	1.9927(14)
Cu(1)–O(1)	1.9497(12)		Cu(1)–O(1)#1	2.3834(11)
Cu(1)–N(2)	1.9815(13)			
Angles (°)				
O(3)–Cu(1)–O(1)	91.89(5)		N(2)–Cu(1)–N(1)	81.63(5)
O(3)–Cu(1)–N(2)	91.30(5)		O(3)–Cu(1)–O(1)#1	94.47(5)
O(1)–Cu(1)–N(2)	174.00(5)		O(1)–Cu(1)–O(1)#1	79.75(5)
O(3)–Cu(1)–N(1)	171.72(5)		N(2)–Cu(1)–O(1)#1	105.07(5)
O(1)–Cu(1)–N(1)	94.79(5)		N(1)–Cu(1)–O(1)#1	91.54(5)
D–H \cdots A	d(D–H)	d(H \cdots A)	d(D \cdots A)	\angle (DHA)
O(5)–H(5B) \cdots O(2)#2	0.836(16)	1.933(16)	2.7595(19)	170(3)
O(5)–H(5A) \cdots O(4)	0.823(16)	2.012(16)	2.821(2)	168(3)
O(6)–H(6A) \cdots O(5)#3	0.860(13)	1.913(13)	2.771(3)	175(3)
O(6)–H(6B) \cdots O(7)	0.829(19)	1.967(18)	2.786(3)	169(4)
O(7)–H(7A) \cdots O(4)#4	0.873(17)	1.986(18)	2.852(3)	172(3)
O(7)–H(7C) \cdots O(6)	0.852(19)	1.955(19)	2.786(3)	165(4)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

#1 $-x + 3/2, -y + 3/2, -z + 1$; #2 $-x + 3/2, y - 1/2, -z + 3/2$; #3 $-x + 1, y, -z + 3/2$; #4 $x - 1/2, y + 1/2, z$

Fig. 1 Molecular structure of **1** (ellipsoids shown at 50% probability)





Fig. 2 1D zig-zag polymer chain of **1**; hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity

intermolecular assemblies are motivated by the presence of the three molecules of water in the crystal, and their interactions with the aqua ligands and coordinated and non-coordinated oxygen atoms of the mal ligand (Table 3). In addition, π - π interactions, with a distance of 3.725 Å, occur in the crystal structure of **2** due to the presence of the bipyridine ligands.

Crystal Structure of **3**

3 Crystallizes in a monoclinic system with a $C2/c$ space group. A unique Cu(II) dinuclear complex is formed when two mal ligands bind, with one oxygen atom (O1) each, to two different Cu ions (μ_2 -oxo), forming a rhombic cluster made of two Cu ions and two oxygen atoms (Fig. 6). The angles $O1-Cu-O1 = 79.75^\circ$ and $Cu1-O1-Cu1 = 100.25^\circ$




Fig. 3 2D supramolecular array in **1**; 5dmb ligand omitted for clarity

corroborate the rhombic and, since this compound is centrosymmetric, a planar (dihedral angle of 0.0°) geometry of the dimer. The distance between $\text{Cu}\cdots\text{Cu}$ ions is 3.33 \AA , which is larger than those found for paddle-wheel type compounds ($2.58\text{--}2.65 \text{ \AA}$) [27], although it is closer to those originated in complexes having bridging $\text{Cu}\text{--}\text{O}\text{--}\text{Cu}$ square dimeric clusters ($3.05\text{--}3.12 \text{ \AA}$) [28, 29]. The two Cu centers are doubly bridged by the O atoms of the two chelating-bridging maleates (Fig. 6). Relatively few coordination complexes, or polymers, have been reported showing this type of Cu_2O_2 rhombic cluster [29–34]. The Cu centers are five-coordinated and surrounded by three oxygen atoms from two

mal ligands and two nitrogen atoms from one 4dmb ligand. The metal center displays a slightly distorted square pyramidal configuration (Fig. 6). In this coordination geometry, the basal plane is defined by O1, O3, N1 and N2, from the mal and 4dmb ligands, respectively. The apical position is occupied by O1, from one mal carboxylate. Towards the apical ligating atom, the metal ion is deviated from the corresponding basal plane just by 0.082 \AA . The basal plane is found to be barely tetrahedral distorted, with a τ value of 0.038 [$\tau = (174.01 - 171.72)/60 = 0.038$] (Fig. 6). This τ parameter describes the different coordination geometries in penta-coordinated complexes: $\tau = 0$ for square pyramidal and $\tau = 1$




Fig. 4 Molecular structure of **2** (symmetry operation: $1.5-x, 0.5+y, 1.5-z$; ellipsoids shown at 50% probability)

for trigonal bipyramidal [24]. The Cu–O bond lengths range from 1.9230(12) to 2.3834(11) Å, while the Cu–N distances are 1.9814(13) and 1.9926(14) Å; these values are similar to those found on comparable Cu(II) compounds [29–31]. Hydrogen bond interactions gather complex **3** into a 3D supramolecular array (Fig. 7). These bindings are formed by the presence of three molecules of water in the crystal, and their contacts with the non-coordinated oxygen atoms of the mal ligand (Table 4). Moreover, due to the presence of the bipyridine moieties there are π – π interactions, with distances of 3.574 and 3.860 Å, in the crystal structure of **3**.

Conclusion

We have reported the synthesis and crystalline molecular and supramolecular structures of three novel complexes of Mn(II), Ni(II) and Cu(II), **1–3**, respectively, employing maleato and dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridines as ligands. **1** is a 1D polymer, where the Mn centers are six-coordinated in a distorted octahedral geometry. **2** is a dinuclear complex, generated by supramolecular interactions, where Ni ions are six-coordinated in a distorted octahedral geometry. **3** is a dinuclear complex with five-coordinated Cu ions

having a slightly-distorted square pyramidal geometry. Furthermore, solid-state assemblies on the structures of **1–3** generate supramolecular frameworks, mainly through hydrogen bonding: 2D for complexes **1** and **2**, and 3D for complex **3**. Thus, the versatility in the different coordination modes of maleato ligand: chelate bidentate and bridging-monodentate for polymer **1**, monodentate for complex **2** and chelate bidentate for complex **3**; has been evidenced by generating divergent coordination compounds of three different transition metals using facile self-assembly reactions.

Supplementary Data

CCDC-1839082, 1839083 and 1839084 contain supplementary crystallographic data for **1–3**, respectively. These data can be obtained free of charge via <http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving/html>, or from Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC), 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [Fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; Email: deposit@cdc.cam.ac.uk].





Fig. 5 2D supramolecular array in **2**; looking down *ab* plane. 4mb ligand omitted for clarity

Fig. 6 Molecular structure of **3** (ellipsoids shown at 50% probability)






Fig. 7 3D supramolecular array in **3**; hydrogen atoms and 4dmb ligand omitted for clarity

Acknowledgements Authors are thankful to M. en C. Alejandra Nuñez Pineda (CCIQS UAEM-UNAM) for elemental analysis of compounds. Funding for this work was provided by Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Zhou HC, Long JR, Yaghi OM (2012) *Chem Rev* 112:673
- Dua M, Li CP, Liub CS, Fang SM (2013) *Coord Chem Rev* 257:1282
- Das D, Banerjee R, Mondal R., Howard JAK, Boese R, Desiraju GR (2006) *Chem Commun* 555
- Zhou XH, Li L, Li HH, Li A, Yang T, Huang W (2013) *Dalton Trans* 42:12403
- Lusby PJ (2013) *Annu Rep Prog Chem A* 109:254
- Lescop C (2017) *Acc Chem Res* 50(4):885
- Ye BH, Tong ML, Chen XM (2005) *Coord Chem Rev* 249:545
- Curiel D, Más-Montoya M, Sánchez G (2014) *Coord Chem Rev* 284:19
- Rosales-Vázquez LD, Sánchez-Mendieta V, Dorazco-González A, Martínez-Otero D, García-Orozco I, Morales-Luckie RA, Jaramillo-García J, Téllez-López A (2017) *Dalton Trans* 46:12516
- Téllez-López A, Jaramillo-García J, Martínez-Domínguez R, Morales-Luckie RA, Camacho-López MA, Escudero R, Sánchez-Mendieta V (2015) *Polyhedron* 100:373
- Téllez-López A, Sánchez-Mendieta V, Jaramillo-García J, Rosales-Vázquez LD, García-Orozco I, Morales-Luckie RA, Escudero R, Morales-Leal F (2016) *Trans Met Chem* 41:879
- Zhao RL, Yue KF, Zhou C, Cheng QDM, Shi JT, Liu YL, Wang YY (2013) *Inorg Chim Acta* 402:25
- Farnum GA, Martin DP, Sposato LK, Supkowski RM, LaDuca RL (2010) *Inorg Chim Acta* 363:250
- Hancock RD (2013) *Chem Soc Rev* 42:1500
- Alizadeh R, Amani V (2016) *Inorg Chim Acta* 443:151
- Lopes LB, Corrêa CC, Guedes GP, Vaz MGF, Diniz R, Machado FC (2013) *Polyhedron* 50:16
- Zhang GM, Li Y, Zou XZ, Zhang JA, Gu JZ, Kirillov AM (2016) *Trans Met Chem* 41:153
- APEX 2 Software Suite. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison
- Sheldrick GM (2008) *Acta Crystallogr A* 64:112
- Hübschle CB, Sheldrick GM, Dittrich B, shelXle (2011) *Appl Cryst* 44:1281
- Macrae CF, Bruno IJ, Chisholm JA, Edgington PR, McCabe P, Pidcock E, Rodriguez-Monge L, Taylor R, van de Streek J, Wood PA (2008) Mercury CSD 2.0-New features for the visualization and investigation of crystal structures. *J Appl Cryst* 41:466
- Rodríguez-Martín Y, Hernández-Molina M, Delgado FS, Pasán J, Ruiz-Pérez C, Sanchiz J, Lloret F, Julve M (2002) *Cryst Eng Comm* 4(87):522
- Rodríguez-Martín Y, Hernández-Molina M, Delgado FS, Pasán J, Ruiz-Pérez C, Sanchiz J, Lloret F, Julve M (2003) *Dalton Trans* 11:2359
- Ruiz-Pérez C, Hernández-Molina M, Sanchiz J, López T, Lloret F, Julve M (2000) *Inorg Chim Acta* 298:245
- Jiang CH, Qi YM, Sun Y, Chi Q, Guo YM (2012) *J Mol Struct* 1017:65
- Choudhury SR, Lee HM, Hsiao TH, Colacio E, Jana AD, Mukhopadhyay S (2010) *J Mol Struct* 967:131

27. Addison AW, Rao TN, Reedijk J, van Rijn J, Verschoor GC (1984) *J Chem Soc Dalton Trans* 1349
28. Youngme S, Cheansirisomboon A, Danvirutai C, Pakawatchai C, Chaichit N (2008) *Inorg Chem Commun* 11:57
29. Tokii T, Watanabe N, Nakashima M, Muto Y, Morooka M, Ohba S, Saito Y (1990) *Bull Chem Soc Jpn* 63:364
30. Boonmak J, Youngme S, Chotkhun T, Engkagul C, Chaichit N, van Albada GA, Reedijk J (2008) *Inorg Chem Commun* 11:1231
31. Nath JK, Mondal A, Powell AK, Baruah JB (2014) *Cryst Growth Des* 14:4735
32. Das K, Panda U, Datta A, Roy S, Mondal S, Massera C, Askun T, Celikboyun P, Garribba E, Sinha C, Anand K, Akitsu T, Kobayashi K (2015) *New J Chem* 39:7309
33. Novoa N, Roisnel T, Dorcet V, Cador O, Manzur C, Carrillo D, Hamon JR (2016) *New J Chem* 40:5920
34. Mahapatra P, Ghosh S, Giri S, Rane V, Kadam R, Drew MGB, Ghosh A (2017) *Inorg Chem* 56:5105