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In 1784, a remark on the possible relationship between sunspots and climate was published by the Mexican astronomer and meteorologist José Antonio Alzate. In this paper we wish to note that Alzate was perhaps the first 18th century scientist to suggest the possible relation between sunspots and the Earth’s weather, thus preceding William Herschel’s 1801 scientifically reasoned statement on this matter, the latter widely regarded as the earliest ever made.
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Reputedly the first serious attempt to establish a correlation of the sunspots’ effect on climate change was made by Sir William Herschel in 1801 [3,4]. During that year, Herschel published two papers in the Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, where he pointed out that the absence of sunspots coincided with “severe seasons”. In order to test his speculation Herschel turned to records of the price of wheat in England as a proxy for climate. He argued that costly wheat would result from “severe seasons”, while the “mild seasons” would produce low-priced wheat. It is important to mention that Herschel did not turn to meteorological records, because in those days these were lacking. Herschel read his papers on this matter before the Royal Society, but his inference met the customary skepticism and even led to laughter [5]. Nevertheless Herschel refined his assertion to some extent in 1807 in a letter to Bode on the variation of solar heat by noting that the Sun’s radiant heat does not have an instantaneous, but a delayed effect on wheat prices. Therefore he reckoned that “the whole theory of the symptomatic disposition of the Sun is only proposed as an experiment to be made” [6].

However in 1784, a few years before Herschel’s 1801 paper, a remark on the possible relation of sunspots and climate was published by the Mexican astronomer and meteorologist Antonio Alzate [7]. His comment stands out because Alzate was, as we shall see, a thorough recorder of meteorological data and at the same time an important sunspot observer.

In this paper we wish to note that Alzate was perhaps the first 18th century scientist to suggest the possible relation be-
tween sunspots and the Earth’s weather [8]. In order to place our commentary in a historical perspective, in what follows we shall make a short account of past attempts to correlate weather with variations in solar activity, highlighting only what we consider relevant to this work. Then we will make a brief appraisal of Alzate’s noteworthy accomplishments, and finally we shall concentrate on his early comment and its context.

2. Attempts to correlate sunspots with climate

In spite of the skepticism that Herschel’s 1801 comments generated, a small number of astronomers maintained an interest in the solar disk. By 1843, after years of careful observations, Heinrich Schwabe stated that the number of spots observed on the Sun rose and fell in a regular 11-year cycle [9]. There followed some speculation regarding the solar influence on climate. However, by the end of the century, a small community of scientists was pursuing the question of how solar variability might relate to short-term weather cycles, as well as long-term climate changes. Understandably, attempts to correlate weather patterns with the sunspot cycle were hampered by a lack of both meteorological data and an adequate statistical analysis.

In the early decades of the 20th century, most meteorologists held the view that the global climate was generally stable, except for a few researchers who continued to gather evidence of a connection linking solar variations with climate cycles. Among them were Annie and E. Walter Maunder, whose studies on past records indicated the scarcity of sunspots from about 1645 to 1715. [10] They associated the “Little Ice Age” to this fact. However, by the mid 20th century, many researchers gave up the quest for solar cycles and their relationships with the weather due again to a widespread atmosphere of skepticism.

By the 1970s, scientists were beginning to understand that the planet’s climate could go through self-sustaining oscillations, driven by feedbacks between ocean temperatures and wind patterns. These cycles range from a timescale of a few years (such as El Niño in the South Pacific) to oscillations lasting several decades. This renewed interest in weather cycles prompted scientists to scrutinize solar activity and climate connections. In 1976, John Eddy decided to review historical naked-eye sunspot records, with the intention of definitively confirming the long-standing belief that the sunspot cycle was stable over the centuries. Instead, Eddy found evidence that the Sun was by no means as constant as some scientists supposed. Moreover, he looked through meteorological records and tied all the threads together, claiming a solar-climate connection. Eddy stressed the case of “Little Ice Age”, which he called the “Maunder Minimum” in honor of Annie and E. Walter Maunder, whose work had been overlooked [11]. But perhaps Eddy’s finest contribution was finding a new variable associated with solar activity, namely, an inverse relation between the concentrations of 14C and sunspots. This is based on the fact that 14C is produced by cosmic rays which are modulated by the solar activity [12].

Recently, Hoyt and Schatten (1998) undertook a considerable attempt to gather past information on solar activity since the early 17th century [13]. This feat was carried out to improve the statistical analysis, based primarily on recovering a large quantity of historical records on sunspot observations. As a result, these records hinted once again at a correlation between climatic transients and sunspot occurrence.

At present, additional research has continued work on past records in an attempt to recover supplementary sunspot observations that might improve the Hoyt and Schatten 1998 database, and thus to better understand the solar activity fluctuation and its connection with our environment. Among these additional past records, the observations made by the Mexican Jose Antonio Alzate in the late 18th century have been recently reviewed by solar physicists [14,15]. However we must point out that the mechanism and extent of the Sun’s activity regarding its role in the climate transients is not yet well established [16].

3. José Antonio Alzate

José Antonio Alzate y Ramírez (21 November 1737 - 2 February 1799) was born in Ozumba Mexico [17]. He attended the Colegio de San Ildefonso in Mexico City, taking his Baccalaureate in Theology (equivalent to the first professional degree) in 1756. In 1768 he started a weekly journal “Diario Literario de Méjico” (1768). Under his guidance, this journal was devoted to the promotion and diffusion of all aspects of science. However, in New Spain there were no paper mills during that time, and consequently paper was scarce and costly. In addition, his journal was censored by local authorities and forced to close [18]. His publication lasted only three months. Some years later, he used an inheritance to start a new publication “Asuntos Varios sobre Ciencias y Artes” (1772-1773) and for a second time it was closed down by the government. Years later, he established again a journal with the same scientific aims. The title of this new journal was “Observaciones sobre la Fisica, Historia Natural y Artes Utiles” (1787-1788) [19]. Afterwards he renamed this journal “Gaceta de Literatura” (1788) which continued to appear until 1795, (115 issues). He was also a frequent contributor to the “Diario de Mexico”. The natural sciences, physics, astronomy and meteorology were for him subjects that deserved great attention. He conducted several scientific experiments, and wrote more than thirty treatises on various scientific subjects. He attained a high reputation as a zoologist and botanist, and for his researches he was named member of the Royal Botanical Garden of Madrid. However, in New Spain he was frequently opposed, even reviled, to the point that his journal, “Gaceta de Literatura” was censored and forced to close by the Spanish colonial authorities four years before he died in Mexico City in 1799 [20].
his honor, the Sociedad Científica Antonio Alzate [Antonio Alzate Scientific Society] was created in 1884. In 1935, this society became the Mexican National Academy of Sciences.

José Antonio Alzate was one of the earliest trustworthy observers of Mexican meteorology. He realized that accurate observations might some day be vital for weather forecasting. He wrote [21]:

“The precise observation to (for) which our atmospheric air is under scrutiny, in its weight or lightness, as in its dryness, humidity, heat and cold, represents a diversion for those who perform it as well as useful activity for mankind; these observations instruct us to predict with some veracity the coming weather and the good or bad health effects that we ourselves can test...The present instruments known today to perform this observation are: the barometer, thermometer, hygrometer and a pennant or wind vane”

His activity in the observing of meteorological phenomena, according to his own writings, lasted for a period of more than two decades [22]. During this time he reported a large variety of weather events such as: rainstorms, frost, thunderstorms, cloud formations, rainbows, and hot, humid, and cold weather conditions, etc., backed by his wide knowledge of related instruments and the use of field-mode observation equipment as barometers, thermometers, wind vanes or pennants and hygrometers.

Among his meteorological works, he wrote “Observaciones meteorológicas” [Weather observations][23]. “Utilidad de las observaciones meteorológicas” [Value of meteorological observations][24]. “Observaciones físicas ejecutadas en la Sierra Nevada...” [Physical observations performed in the Sierra Nevada...][25], “Sobre escarchas” [On rime][26], “Sobre heladas” [On frosts][27]. “Fidelidad del barómetro” [Reliability of the barometer][28]. In addition to the papers mentioned, there is one publication that is relevant to our discussion, as will become clear, namely: “Medios de que se pueden valer los hombres para prevenir calamidades” [Means by which mankind can prevent calamities] [29] published in the Gaceta de Literatura, June 17, 1795.

In the last work of the list, Alzate proposes the use of records of seed prices to investigate the state of the weather in past periods [29]. The paper begins with Alzate trying to encourage his generation to gather past weather records. He urges them: “let us re-examine what has happened in past times to achieve a close forecast of what we expect in the future”. Moreover, Alzate in the same paper describes that he has scrutinized available archives, pointing out that “…I have searched public registers in order to see if they could show me some reliable data…” and regrets “…there is no sign of data that could show me the way out of this spiny labyrinth...For that reason I beg lovers of humankind to inform me if, in some corner, there is a register, encompassing some lengthy period, for the price of seeds that have been sold: This will be a reliable compass needle to point us, more or less, toward the time interval in which our atmosphere exhibits the same effects”[29].

We have already mentioned that Herschel presented the same idea, but in 1801, i.e., to relate weather conditions to the price of wheat.

Alzate’s activity as an astronomer is outstanding. During his lifetime, two of his astronomy related works were published by The French Academy of Sciences. This institution named him Foreign Associate Member of the Academy, the only person to receive that honor in New Spain. His systematic and careful observation of the heavens is reflected in various publications such as: “Eclipse de luna del doce de diciembre de mil setecientos setenta y nueve años observado en la Imperial Ciudad de México y dedicada al Rey nuestro Señor” [Lunar Eclipse of December 12, 1769 observed in the Imperial City of Mexico and dedicated to our Lord the King] [30], “Observacion del paso de mercurio por el disco del Sol (9 de noviembre 1769)” [Observation of the passage of Mercury by the Solar disc][31], and a note on the observation of the passage of Venus by the disc of the Sun, June 3, (1769)[32], etc.

In the path towards reaching his understanding of meteorological phenomena, Alzate’s expertise on Astronomy and Meteorology made him propose the following hypothesis, which is the focal point of our present manuscript and which we shall analyze next.

4. The Hypothesis of Alzate on the Sunspot-Climate Connection.

The important statement that Alzate made appeared in the “Gaceta de México”, N° 23, dated on Wednesday, November 17, 1784, on page 191, with no heading or title (see facsimile in Fig. 1). The same note was reprinted almost fifty years later with the added heading “Observacion –sic- sobre la luz” [7]. Alzate’s remark reads as follows:

FIGURE 1. Facsimile of the original remark by Alzate.
“The light conveyed by the Sun, efficiently influences vegetables and livestock markets: this assertion should be present in the minds of those savants dealing with meteorological observations (none has implemented it). Pliny tells us in his “Natural History” that, in times of Augustus, the Sun was dim and epidemics and food scarcity struck; modern physicists ascribe the mentioned dimness to the numerous sunspots that masked the solar disc at that time; from the year [17]69 I have been observing the Sun most days till the year [17]83, always with numerous sunspots.

In relation to the Eclipse of August 15, [17]84, I surveyed the Solar body using a telescope with much magnification and great clarity and confirmed that it was completely spotless. I continued observing daily up to 29 October, and no single spot appeared. Would the seasonal alterations experienced in Europe, and here, depend partly on that cause? Only experience will tell.”

In order to analyze Alzate’s remarks, we are going to divide it into four statements and a conclusion as follows:

“The light conveyed by the Sun, efficiently influences vegetables and livestock markets: this assertion should be present in the minds of those savants dealing with meteorological observations (none has implemented it)”. With this sentence Alzate comments on the financial importance of weather and regrets the lack of recorded data.

“Pliny tells us in his “Natural History” that, in times of Augustus, the Sun was dim and epidemics and food scarcity struck; modern physicists ascribe the mentioned dimness to the numerous sunspots that masked the solar disc at that time.” Here there are two ground-breaking ideas: initially, Alzate makes the connection between sunspots and climate, and then Alzate initiates the examination of historical accounts [33] long before their use by Annie and Walter Maunder in the 19th century [2], and before the studies on past records by John Eddy in the 70’s [11] and the 1998 analysis of Hoyt and Schatten [13].

“…from the year [17]69 I have been observing the Sun most days till the year [17]83, always with numerous sunspots.” He states that he has been a conscientious observer of the solar disc for 14 years in a row. He notes the incidence of copious sunspots in that period. We must stress that his papers show that Alzate also determined weather parameters during his observations [30].

In relation to the Eclipse of August 15, [17]84, I surveyed the Solar body using a telescope with much magnification and great clarity and confirmed that it was completely spotless. I continued observing daily up to 29 October, and no single spot appeared. At this point he reports a change in the solar disc to a spotless state that, with his hypothesis in mind, is promptly associated with the current state of the weather at that time. This brings him to question the connection between climate and sunspots in his last statement:

Would the seasonal alterations experienced in Europe, and here, depend partly on that cause? Only experience will tell.”

5. Conclusions

Old publications indicate that José Antonio Alzate was perhaps the first 18th century scientist to plausibly infer the possible relation between sunspots and the Earth’s weather, thus preceding William Herschel’s 1801 statement on this matter. Nevertheless, there is a similarity between Herschel and Alzate’s thoughts in that both realize that future research remained to be performed. In the first case, Herschel proposed the climate-sunspot connection only as “an experiment to be made”. In the other case, Alzate realized that “only experience will tell”. However, there is a clear difference in the origin of their conjectures, namely: Alzate was a meteorologist and based his speculation arguably on his own meteorological data and on Pliny’s historical records, whereas Herschel used the wheat proxy to reach his conclusion. Nevertheless, Alzate was first to propose the use of seed prices to estimate weather conditions. Curiously enough, this idea is still being used by present day scientists [34].

We must add some final observations. The process of global warming from anthropogenic carbon dioxide and aerosol emissions cannot and should not be ignored. But the fear of climate change by the unknown is also great. Historical records have proven throughout the ages that climate change has occurred. The available evidence hints at a correlation between climatic transients and the occurrence of sunspots. However, the search for “correlations” should be taken “cum grano salis” and much scientific research remains to be done in this matter [16].

It has been said that History gives us a frame of reference to consider the possible causes of the future ahead of us. Alzate knew this.
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