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Summary

The purpose of this study was to estimate the prevalence of tumors in biopsies of owned dogs sent to the pathology laboratory from the municipality of Toluca. Tissue samples (n = 172) were received at CIESA laboratory from 2002 to 2008. The estimated prevalence was 1.23/1000 dogs, with values of 1.37 for bitches 1.08 for males. The frequency of tumors per age group was: 59.3% in dogs of 7-15 years old, 33.7% in dogs of 2-6 years old and 7% in dogs younger than 2 years old. Skin tumors were the most prevalent (0.65), followed by mammary gland tumors (0.24). These results suggested that age could be associated to the presence of malignant tumors, and that UV radiation could be associated to the appearance of certain types of skin tumors. This study contributes to the understanding of the epidemiology of tumors in dogs from Toluca, in order to establish the first registry of dog tumors in Mexico.
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In the U.S.A and Europe, the incidence of tumors in pets has been estimated using different methodologies and variable reference populations which include patients admitted to hospitals or registered by a veterinary pet insurance company (Reif 2007). In Toluca, there is a lack of epidemiological data on animal oncology. For this reason, it is essential to start gathering information in order to establish a statistical record on the behaviour of this disease in the dog population and to determine whether there are any risk factors in the municipality of Toluca that are associated to this disease.

The aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence of tumors in owned dogs in the municipality of Toluca from biopsies sent to the pathology laboratory.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A descriptive and a retrospective epidemiological study was performed. In order to make inferences about frequencies a chi-square test ($\chi^2$) and P value of less than 0.05 were used as criteria for statistical significance. Out of all the biopsies sent to the CIESA laboratory between 2002 to 2008 only those with a diagnosis of neoplasm were selected. Anamnesis data (sex, age, breed and clinical-pathological features of the tumor) were captured in a database using Word and Excel (database from the Surgical Pathology area in CIESA, 2002/2008). Prevalence was estimated from all the tumor cases, according to sex, location and the histogenesis and biological behaviour of the tumors. These data were extrapolated to the population of owned dogs in the municipality of Toluca. The total population of owned dogs in the study period was estimated at 139,900 dogs, based on official records from the Instituto de Salud del Estado de México (Pérez and L'Gamiz 1995). To estimate tumor prevalence by gender, we assumed that estimated 49% of the dogs in the studied population were males and 51% females (Pérez and L'Gamiz 1995). Regarding age and animal breed, it was only possible to estimate the frequencies for all tumor cases. The diagnosis of biopsies was done by histopathology; samples were processed by conventional methods and special stains were used according to the type of tumor (Masson’s Trichrome, Giemsa, PAS and Fontana). All neoplasms were classified according to the International Histological Classification of WHO and of the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, with cooperation from the American Registry of Pathology (AFIP/OMS 2004).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 172 samples were diagnosed as tumors, with an estimated a prevalence of 1.23/1000 dogs among the total population of 139,000 owned dogs. With regard to gender, there was a prevalence of 1.37 in females and of 1.08 in males (figure 1).

In this study, the estimated incidence of tumors (1.23/1000) in owned dogs from the municipality of Toluca is relatively low compared with a reported incidence of 3.71/1000 in an Italian county (Merlo et al 2008). It is difficult to compare the obtained results with other studies because most scientific reports of dog tumors in veterinary medicine estimate frequencies or incidences using partial populations of dogs, either from clinics and hospitals or from insurance companies, with most pets suffering from some kind of pathology. In addition, there are no prevalence reports that consider the total population of dogs (sick and healthy) in a city or town. The difference in tumor incidence between sexes may be influenced by the high incidence of mammary tumors, as it is one of the most common tumors in females (Benjamin et al 1999, Rutteman et al 2007).

In terms of age, the highest frequency of tumors was detected in dogs between 7 - 15 years old (59.3%), while in dogs from 2 to 7 years old the frequency was 33.7%, and 7% in dogs younger than 2 years old (figure 2). Malignant tumors occurred most frequently (65.3%, 49/75) in older animals; ($P = 0.0318$). Older dogs showed the highest frequency of cancer in both females and males. This may be explained by the fact that their tissues had been exposed for longer periods to undetermined risk factors, and also due to the decreasing physiological ca-

![Figure 1. Prevalence of tumors by sex/1000 dogs.](image1)

![Figure 2. Frequency of tumors by age.](image2)
pacity for cellular repair as the animals grow older; therefore, they may have been accumulating cellular damage, which predisposes to the development of neoplasia (Ferbeyre and Salinas 2005, Hartwell and Kastan 1994). Furthermore, the senescence of the immune system results in a deficient immune response against carcinogenic agents and altered cells, allowing the development of tumor diseases (Burns and Goodwin 1997, Ferbeyre and Salinas 2005, Fietta 2008). The fact that the largest proportion of tumors (90.1%) was found in adult dogs (older than 2 years old) suggests that the exposure to risk factors (dietary deficiencies, chronic infections or free radicals) can initiate the development of tumors, since the accumulation of at least four to seven gene mutations is required for cancer cells to initiate a tumor process (Renan 1993). Age could be an important risk factor, mainly for the development of malignant tumors; however, further studies should be conducted in order to prove this statement.

Pure breed dogs were found to have a higher frequency of tumors (91.9%) when compared with mixed breed dogs (8.1%) (table 1). When comparing dog breeds, tumors were more frequently found in Labrador (18.4%), followed by Schnauzer and Cocker (8.2%), German Shepherd and French Poodle (7.6%) (table 2). In this study, Labrador was the breed with more tumors (18.4%); these results agree with other studies which reported that this breed is at greater risk of developing tumors (Juárez and Aburto 2004). Our results could possibly be influenced by the fact that Labrador is the most popular breed in the municipality of Toluca. Our findings differ from those of Moulton, who reported that the Boxer breed developed more tumors by type and numbers (Misdorp 1990). In our study, the Boxer breed accounts for only 3% of all tumors.

According to the location in the body, skin tumors were predominant, with a prevalence of 0.65, followed by mammary gland tumors (0.24). Other body regions in which tumors were found with a lower prevalence were: reproductive, digestive, hematopoietic, musculoskeletal, respiratory, urinary and the endocrine systems (table 3). Regarding to location in the body of tumors related to gender, skin tumors ranked first in females with a prevalence of 0.59; mammary gland tumors were in second place, with a frequency of 0.45. Skin tumors also predominated in males, with a frequency of 0.45, followed by reproductive system (0.13) and gastrointestinal (0.08) tumors. The differences in tumor prevalence were significant ($P = 0.00000427$). Skin tumors were the most prevalent, mainly appearing in older animals, in agreement with other studies (Dobson et al 2002, Kelsey et al 1998, Pires et al 2003, Richards et al 2001). This is possibly due to the skin being the tissue most exposed to various environmental factors that can trigger tumor development (Vail and Withrow 2007). Furthermore, it is the most visible organ, easy to explore and access for obtaining tissue samples. Mammary gland tumors were the second most prevalent, but the appearance of this kind of tumors is influenced by sex, as it was clearly observed they were less prevalent in males, in agreement with results from other studies (Benjamin et al 1999, Pires et al 2003). The high occurrence of mammary gland tumors in bitches was probably due to hormonal factors, since the risk of tumor development in dogs spayed before the first estrus is low (0.05%); is spayed after the first estrus the risk increases to 8%, and rises to 26% if they are spayed after the second estrus (Ginn et al 2007, Rutteman et al 2007).

In this study, skin and mammary gland tumors were the most prevalent. There were no differences among the repor-

### Table 1. Frequency of tumors by breed in owned dogs in the municipality of Toluca (2002-2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purebred</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>91.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed breed</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Frequency of tumors in dogs by breed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labrador Retriever</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schnauzer</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocker Spaniel</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Shepherd</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Poodle</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rottweiler</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bull Terrier</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No registration</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Prevalence of tumors found by affected tissue /1000 dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location in tissues</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammary Gland</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genital System</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestive</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal-Muscle</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematopoietic</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of all the different kinds of tumors found, carcinoma showed the highest prevalence with 0.17, followed by fibroma (0.09) and adenoma (0.08). As for skin tumors, the most prevalent were fibroma (0.08), histiocytoma (0.06) and pilomatrixoma (0.05) (table 4). With regard to histopathological features of tumors, 56.4% were diagnosed as benign and 43.6% as malignant, with a prevalence of 0.70/1000 dogs and 0.54/1000 dogs respectively (figure 3).

Even though the most prevalent skin tumor was fibroma (0.08), the group of tumors linked to UV exposure, such as squamous cell carcinoma, hemangiosarcoma and hemangioma (Nikula et al 1992) had a higher prevalence (0.09). This could be associated with high levels of UV light (8-10) reported in Toluca. Therefore, as mentioned by other authors, exposure to UV light should be considered a likely risk factor related to the high skin tumor prevalence found in Toluca city.

As CIESA is the only histopathology laboratory in this municipality, it is considered a regional monitor of domestic animal diseases. However, since only a small proportion of all dog tumor cases in Toluca city reach our laboratory, due to either economic reasons or lack of interest of the owners, this study is likely to have underestimated the prevalence of tumors, and further studies should be conducted in order to get a more accurate assessment of the prevalence of tumors in dogs from this municipality.

This is the first reported effort to establish a dog tumor registry in Mexico. It is important to expand this kind of studies in order to learn more about tumor behaviour in this species. In addition, a continuous effort should be conducted in order to assess regional risk factors and compare them with risk factors for tumors in humans, as the dog could be a sentinel of external risk factors in our environment and could provide valuable information to the authorities involved in the design of public health programs. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the prevalence of dog tumors among the population of owned dogs in the municipality of Toluca, contributing to the understanding of the epidemiology and the risk factors associated with some dog tumors.
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