Influence of *Salix babylonica* extract in combination or not with increasing levels of minerals mixture on *in vitro* rumen gas production kinetics of a total mixed ration
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Addition of MM, without SB, increased (P<0.05) b and decreased c and ruminal pH. Increasing the levels of SB increased (P<0.05) b, c, L, and GP in addition to linearly increase (P<0.001) DMD. Increasing levels of MM increased (P<0.001) c and while decreased pH. An interaction occurred between different SB extract and MM levels: the most effective levels of SB extract and MM on ruminal fermentation and kinetics were 1.8 mL SB extract/g DM and 2.5 g MM/100 g DM.

### Introduction

Plant extracts, with high concentrations of secondary metabolites, are good candidates for enhancing nutrients utilization (Patra et al., 2006; Cedillo et al., 2014). Administration of *Salix babylonica* (SB) extract to ruminants as feed additives modified *in vitro* ruminal fermentation in lambs (Jiménez-Peralta et al., 2011; Salem et al., 2014b), improved *in vivo* digestibility as well as average daily gain of lambs (Salem et al., 2011a, 2014b) and milk production (Salem et al., 2014a). Some plant extracts also improved animal growth and nutrient digestion (Mapiye et al., 2010; Salem et al., 2011a) due to positive impacts of their secondary metabolites on activity of ruminal microorganisms (Jiménez-Peralta et al., 2011) and/or increased amino acid flow to the duodenum (Mueller-Harvey, 2006). This can result in more muscle deposition and, consequently, heavier carcasses (Gleghorn et al., 2004) and improved meat quality (Mapiye et al., 2010). Some secondary metabolites like tannins and saponins have also a protective effect on the protein in the rumen which can promote duodenal absorption; they also minimize the excretion of N via increasing feed intake and N retention (Miller et al., 1995), modify the acetate to propionate ratio in rumen fluid, and decrease parasitic load (Asiegbu et al., 1995; Hernandez et al., 2014; Olmedo-Juárez t. al. 2014).

Digestibility and performance can be maximized with the addition of precursor nutrients such as minerals (Astawa et al., 2011). Bal and Ozturk (2006) stated that the macro minerals (P, Ca and Mg) and micro minerals (Cu, Co, Zn, Mn and S) play an important role in protein synthesis of rumen microorganisms, feed degradation and various microbial enzyme activators. Feeding animals on minerals mixture (MM) and some secondary metabolites, are good candidates for balance of rumen fluid in a normal pH (5.5 to 7.2) and making ruminal environments more stable and neutral, as stated by Owen and Goetsch (1998). However, there are limited reports on the effects of tree extracts combined with MM on ruminal gas production (GP) and nutrient digestibility (Suharti et al., 2010). The aim of this study was to determine the effects of using increasing levels of SB extract and a MM and their combination on *in vitro* GP and some ruminal fermentation parameters of a total mixed ration (TMR) made of concentrate with corn silage (50:50 DM basis).

### Materials and methods

**Substrate**

The substrate used in the study was a TMR made of concentrate with corn silage (50:50 on DM basis). Samples (n=5) of the TMR, corn silage and concentrate mixture (Table 1) were dried at 60°C for 72 h in an oven to constant weight, ground in a hammer mill (Arthur H. Thomas Co., Swedesboro, NJ, USA) to pass a 1 mm sieve and stored in plastic bags for subsequent determination of chemical components and *in vitro* GP.

**Treatments**

The SB extract (containing g/kg DM: 16.4...
total phenolics, 5.4 saponins and 76 aqueous fraction) was tested at four levels (0, 0.6, 1.2, 1.8 mL SB extract/g DM of TMR) in three replicates for each treatment on in vitro fermentation and kinetic profile of TMR. Addition of SB levels to the TMR was done without or in combination with 4 levels of a commercial MM (0, 0.5, 1.5 and 2.5 g/100g DM of TMR). The MM was prepared for feedlot lambs and contained per kg: Fe (853 mg), Zn (2000 mg), Mn (1448 mg), Cu (60 mg), I (19.8 mg), Se (3.6 mg), Co (3.7 mg), Mg (6479.8 mg), CaCO3 (499 g), NaCl (180 g), NaHCO3 (250 g), Na (6894 mg), K (5540 mg).

In vitro incubations

The method of Theodorou et al. (1994) was used for GP technique as described before in some publications of in vitro evaluation. Briefly, rumen fluid was collected from two Brown Swiss cows fitted with permanent rumen cannula and fed ad libitum a TMR with a proportion of 1:1, w/w of commercial concentrate (PURINA®, St. Louis, MO, USA) and corn silage. The handling of animals was made according to international bioethical standards and NOM-062-ZOO-1999 (SAGARPA, 1999). Ruminal contents, from each cow, were obtained before the morning feeding, mixed and strained through four layers of cheesecloth into a flask with O2 free headspace. Samples of TMR (1 g) were weighed in 120 mL serum bottles with appropriate addition of SB and MM or their combination. Ten mL of particle free ruminal fluid were added to each bottle followed by 40 mL of the buffer solution according to Goering and Van Soest (1970), with no trypticase added. Stock solution of each MM and SB levels was prepared previously. The MM was added to bottles containing weighed samples before buffered rumen liquor addition, and SB solution was added immediately before closing the bottles and infusing CO2.

A total of 576 bottles (3 bottles of each triplicate sample in three runs in different weeks with 4 doses of SB (0, 0.6, 1.2, 1.8 mL SB/g DM), 4 doses of MM (0, 0.5, 1.5 and 2.5 g/100 g DM of substrate), 4 doses of SB+MM combinations, plus three bottles as blanks (i.e., rumen fluid and buffer only), were incubated for 72 h. Once all bottles were filled, they were immediately closed with rubber stoppers, shaken and placed in the incubator at 39°C. The volume of gas produced was recorded at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 24, 36, 48 and 72 h of incubation using the pressure reading technique (Extech instruments, Nashua, NH, USA). At the end of incubation, bottles were uncapped, pH was measured using a pH meter (Conductronic pH15; Conductronic, Puebla, Mexico) and the contents of each bottle were filtered to obtain the non-fermented residue for determination of degraded substrate.

Dry matter degradability

The DM degradability (DMD) was determined by filtration of bottles contents at the end of incubation (i.e., after 72 h). The content of each serum bottle was filtered under vacuum through glass crucibles with a sintered filter (coarse porosity no. 1, pore size 100 to 160 µm; Pyrex, Stone, UK). Fermentation residues were dried at 65°C for 72 hovernight to estimate DM disappearance with loss in weight after drying being the measure of degradable DM.

Preparation of extract

The preparation of extract was provisory described in Salem (2012). Briefly, plant leaves of *S. babylonica* were collected randomly from several young and mature trees during summer. Leaves were freshly chopped into 1 to 2 cm length, then immediately extracted at 1 g leaf/8 mL of solvent mixture. The mixture of solvents contained 10 mL methanol, 10 mL ethanol and 80 mL distilled water. Plant materials were soaked and incubated in solvent in the laboratory at 25 to 30°C for 72 h in closed flasks. After incubation, flasks were incubated in a water bath at 39°C for 1 h and then immediately filtered and the filtrates were stored at 4°C for further use.

Chemical and secondary compound analyses

Samples of the substrate were analyzed for DM (#934.01), ash (#942.05), crude protein (CP) (#954.01) and ether extract (EE) (#920.39) according to AOAC (1997). The neutral detergent fibre (NDF) (Van Soest et al., 1991), acid detergent fibre (ADF) and lignin (AOAC, 1997; #973.18) were analysed using an ANKOM Fibre Analyzer Unit (Ankom Technology, Macedon, NY, USA). Neutral detergent fibre was assayed without use of an alpha amylase but with sodium sulfite in the NDF. Both NDF and ADF are expressed without residual ash.

Secondary metabolites were determined by taking 10 mL of extract that were fractionated by funnel separation with a double volume of ethyl acetate to determine total phenolics by drying and quantifying the total phenolics layer in the funnel. After total phenolics separation, a double volume of n-butanol was added to fractionate the saponins (Makkar et al., 1998). The remaining solution was considered to be the aqueous fraction having the other secondary compounds such lectins, polypeptides and starch (Cowan, 1999).

Calculations

All the following calculations were mentioned previously in Salem (2012). To estimate kinetic parameters of GP, results (ml/g DM) were fitted using the NLIN programme of SAS (2002), according to France et al. (2000) as:

\[ A = b 	imes (1 - e^{-c(t−L)}) \]

where: *A* is the volume of GP at time *t*; *b* is the asymptotic GP (ml/g DM); *c* is the rate of GP (hl), and *L* (h) is the discrete lag time prior to GP.

Metabolizable energy (ME; MJ/kg DM) and in vitro organic matter digestibility (OMD; %) were estimated according to Menke et al. (1979) as:

\[ ME = 2.20 + 0.136 \times CP + 0.057 \times CP \]

OMD = 14.88 + 0.889 × CP + 0.45 × CP + 0.0651 × A

where: OMD is organic matter digestibility; CP

### Table 1. Ingredient and chemical composition of the total mixed ration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Concentrate</th>
<th>Corn silage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum grain</td>
<td>550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish meal</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ether extract</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral detergent fibre</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid detergent fibre</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid detergent lignin</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n=3, standard deviation=0.41.
is crude protein (%); XA, ash (%); and GP is net GP in mL from 200 mg of dry sample after 24 h of incubation. The partitioning factor at 24 h of incubation (PF24; a measure of fermentation efficiency) was calculated as the ratio of in vitro DMD (mg) to the volume (mL) of GP at 24 h [i.e., DMD/total gas production (GP24)], according to Blümml et al. (1997). Gas yield (GY24) was calculated as the volume of gas (mL gas/g DM) produced after 24 h of incubation divided by the amount of DMD (g) as:

\[ \text{Gas yield (GY24)} = \frac{\text{mL gas/g DMD}}{} \]

Short chain fatty acid concentrations (SCFA) were calculated according to Getachew et al. (2002) as:

\[ \text{SCFA (mmol/200 mg DM)} = 0.0222 \times \text{GP} - 0.00425 \]

where: GP is the 24 h net GP (mL/200 mg DM). Microbial CP (MCP) biomass production was calculated according to Blümml et al. (1997) as:

\[ \text{MCP (mg/g DM)} = \text{mg DMD} - (\text{mL gas} \times 2.2 \times 0.00425) \]

where: 2.2 mg/mL is a stoichiometric factor which expresses mg of C, H and O required for production of SCFA gas associated with production of one mL of gas.

### Statistical analyses

The experimental design for the in vitro ruminal GP and fermentation parameters analysis was a completely random design, with SB and MM as fixed effects in the linear model (Steel et al., 1997). Data of each of the three runs within the same sample was averaged prior to statistical analysis. Mean values of the triplicates within each extract level were used as the experimental unit. The statistical model was:

\[ Y_{ijk} = \mu + S_{B} + M_{M} + (S_{B} \times M_{M})_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk} \]

where: \( Y_{ijk} \) is every observation of the \( i \)th extract levels (SB) when incubated in the \( j \)th minerals doses (MM); \( \mu \) is the general mean; \( S_{B} \) (i=1-4) is the extract doses; \( M_{M} \) is the minerals doses effect (j=1-4); \( (S_{B} \times M_{M}) \) is the interaction between extract and minerals doses; and \( \epsilon_{ijk} \) is the experimental error. Linear and quadratic polynomial contrasts were used to examine responses of TMR of concentrate with corn silage (1:1, DM basis) to increasing doses of SB and levels of MM. However, opti-
Table 3. *In vitro* rumen fermentation profile as affected by the combination of *Salix babylonica* extract doses and minerals levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SB dosage, mL/g DM</th>
<th>MM, g/100 g DM</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>DMD, %</th>
<th>OMD, %</th>
<th>ME, MJ/kg DM</th>
<th>PF24, mg DMD/g DM</th>
<th>GY24, mL gas/g DM</th>
<th>SCFA, mmol/g DM</th>
<th>MCP, mg/g DM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>732.46</td>
<td>45.20</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>199.06</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>411.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>743.87</td>
<td>44.55</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>191.08</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>431.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>736.51</td>
<td>47.10</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>258.32</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>377.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>758.62</td>
<td>47.86</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>211.94</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>404.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>762.14</td>
<td>46.08</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>197.88</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>430.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>755.78</td>
<td>47.04</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>206.89</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>412.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>740.21</td>
<td>47.71</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>216.02</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>388.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>749.76</td>
<td>47.61</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>214.05</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>396.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>752.83</td>
<td>47.43</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>210.40</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>404.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>742.36</td>
<td>52.86</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>254.64</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>326.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>748.56</td>
<td>52.65</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>250.99</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>335.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>747.31</td>
<td>50.44</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>228.31</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>381.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>756.86</td>
<td>56.07</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>273.50</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>301.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>753.96</td>
<td>54.90</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>265.80</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>313.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>743.01</td>
<td>54.30</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>265.21</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>309.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>733.63</td>
<td>53.75</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>264.45</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>307.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled SEM</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>3.637</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>6.697</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>8.119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SB, *Salix babylonica*; MM, minerals mixture; DMD, dry matter; DMD, DM degradability; OMD, *in vitro* organic matter digestibility; ME, metabolizable energy; PF24, partitioning factor at 24 h of incubation; GY24, gas yield at 24 h of incubation; SCFA, short chain fatty acids; MCP, microbial crude protein production.

Discussion

*Salix babylonica* extract positively modified rumen GP and fermentation activities as improved nutrients utilization (Jiménez-Peralta et al., 2011; Salem et al., 2014a). It was reported that this could also be used as growth promoter (Patra et al., 2006). *Salix babylonica* complexes can protect dietary proteins from degradation in the rumen and made them available for digestion as a protein within the stomach. The observed GP increase in this study with increasing SB extract levels may be explained by the presence of soluble sugars in the extracts (Patra et al., 2006), which apparently positively influence on the activity of rumen microorganism. The *in vitro* DMD increased when 0.6 mL/g DM of SB extract was added, but declined with increasing doses of SB extract. The highest DMD (76.2%) was obtained at concentration of 0.6 mL SB extract/g DM, which might indicate that this level is the optimum concentration of the extract for rumen microbes for stimulating rumen microbes to efficient breakdown the substrate (Table 3). The decline beyond the optimum levels of the SB extract was in agreement with Salem et al. (2006) who reported that high concentration of plant metabolites negatively affects the activities of rumen microorganisms. At low or moderate doses of secondary metabolites, the rumen microorganisms have the ability to degrade and utilize them as an energy source (Varel et al., 1991; Hart et al., 2008). However, high doses of plant extracts with antimicrobial activity will decrease microbial activity and diet fermentability (Jiménez-Peralta et al., 2011). *Salix babylonica* extract was expected to be beneficial to rumen function due to its stimulating effect on fermentation (Jiménez-Peralta et al., 2011) as revealed by protection dietary proteins from rumen degradation increased cell-wall constituents and MCP. However, the observed increased MCP, PF24 and GY24 may possibly be due to improved synchronization between energy and protein release in the rumen with SB and/or MM combination (Montemayor et al., 2009).

Some of phenolic compounds in plant extract may interact with biosynthesis of aromatic amino acids, as both biosynthesis pathways are linked through cinnamic acid, a natural compound in plant secondary metabolites (Mandal et al., 2010). Salem et al. (2011b) identified 59 compounds in *S. babylonica* leaves. Phenylpropanoic acid and phenylactic acid, as a phenolic compounds in plant extract, have been reported to enhance cellulose degradation and growth of several strains of *Ruminococcus albus* (Stack and Cotta, 1986). The biological activities of organic substances other than sugars, fatty acids or amino acids, have been identified in plant extracts such *Achillea millefolium*, *Arnica montana*, *Lavandula officinalis*, *Equisetum arvense*, *Fagopyrum esculentum*, *Sativa officinalis* and *Solidago virgareua* (Duke, 1992).

Suharti et al. (2010) found that addition of lerak (*Sapindus rarak*) fruit extract up to 0.18% from total ration in the presence of mineral block mixture was not effective to depress protozoa population, but could modify fermentation characteristics *in vitro*. From all above...
one can infer that plant secondary metabolites at the optimum doses can enhance GP, degradability, and general fermentation kinetics.

The increasing SB×MM combination levels showed an increasing effect on rumen fermentation and resulted in increased DMD, SCFA, ME and MCP. The MM might enhance the GP as a result of the improved fermentation ability of ruminal microorganisms to digest secondary metabolites contained in the extract (Hu et al., 2005; Hart et al., 2008). supplementation with minerals can improve rumen microbial activity in the metabolism of carbohydrates and protein in the rumen, so that the rumen fermentation process would be more efficient. The SCFA produced in the rumen will increase and in turn lead more nutrient availability for microbes and animals (Astawa et al., 2011). Moreover, Kamra (2005) stated that availability of minerals like Zn and S in the rumen will improve ruminal fermentation. The presence of Zn mineral in the rumen will increase microbial protein synthesis through the activation of microbial enzymes. It will also stimulate growth of rumen protozoa because Zn can easily penetrate protozoa and was utilized in the body for growth. However, the presence of mineral S in the rumen can be used as a component of sulfur amino acid by rumen microbes.

The addition of minerals might have provided the necessary nutrients required by the microbes to effectively degrade the TMR. The presence of some trace mineral elements such as Cu and Zn are involved in many enzymatic systems and may improve metabolic utilization of major dietary nutrients such as proteins and carbohydrates (Ramírez, 2007). Although severe Zn deficiency is uncommon in ruminants, symptoms related to marginal Zn deficiencies may occur more often than expected (Smart et al., 1981). Moreover, in herds reared at pasture, grazing ruminants in many parts of the world often experience Cu deficiency or toxicity, which may affect growth and digestibility of nutrients (Sharma et al., 2004). Phosphorus can be considered, worldwide, the major mineral deficiency causing economic impact (Underwood and Suttle, 1999).

Proper level of MM is important for ruminants. Although no effects of MM addition on pH values were registered, addition of minerals to a high concentrate diet may help to maintain an adequate pH for celluloitic activity by microorganisms and enhance the efficiency and quantity of the microbes produced (Durand and Komisarczuk, 1988) during fermentation. Elements like Zn may activate the carbonic anhydrase enzyme which can maintain acid-base balance of rumen fluid in normal pH, resulting in a more stable and neutral ruminal environment (Owen and Goetsch, 1998).

However, it is well known that moderate mineral deficiencies or improper proportions of minerals in the ruminant’s diet often induce depressed feed intake leading partly to the impaired activity of rumen microorganisms (Komisarczuk-Bony and Durand, 1991). Durand and Kawashima (1980) reported that not only was MM added to meet the microbial requirements for Na, K and Ca for ruminants, but it also has some indirect effects on microbial metabolism either by interacting with organic compounds or by altering the physicochemical characteristics of the rumen medium (Mackie and Therion, 1984). These indirect effects offer some possibilities for manipulating rumen fermentation and would therefore be one of the reasons for inducing the improved in vitro fermentation as observed in this study (Table 3). Mg is essential for all microorganisms to activate many bacterial enzymes phosphohydrolase and phosphotransferase pathways involving adenosine triphosphate and thiamin pyrophosphates reactions. Cellulase from Ruminococcus flavefaciens in the rumen was shown to be activated by Mg2+ (Petitpiper and Latham, 1979) making this supplement beneficial for rumen microbes’ activity.

Conclusions

In the current study, the interactions between SB extract and MM for in vitro GP parameters and in vitro DM and OM disappearances suggest that it is important to identify appropriate supplemental levels of both SB extract and MM. Interactive effects between SB extract and MM for in vitro DM and OM disappearances suggest that the appropriate supplemental levels of SB extract and MM were 1.8 mL extract/g DM and 2.5 g MM/100 DM of TMR composed of concentrate and corn silage (TMR - 50:50, on DM basis).

Moreover, addition of SB extract to the rumen medium containing MM at supplemental levels of 1.8 mL extract/g DM and 2.5 g MM/100 DM of TMR composed of concentrate and corn silage (TMR - 50:50, on DM basis) showed a marked improvement in in vitro rumen gas kinetics and cumulative GP.
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