Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Topology and its Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/topol

# General properties of pseudo-contractibility

Félix Capulín<sup>a,\*</sup>, Leonardo Juárez-Villa<sup>a,\*</sup>, Fernando Orozco-Zitli<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Facultad de Ciencias, Instituto Literario No. 100, Col. Centro, C.P. 50000, Toluca, Estado de México, Mexico

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 July 2018 Received in revised form 12 July 2018 Accepted 24 July 2018 Available online 26 July 2018

*MSC:* primary 54C05, 54C15, 54C55 secondary 54B17

Keywords: Pseudo-contractible Contractible Property b) Unicoherent Acyclic Homotopy equivalent Trivial shape Curves Dendroids

#### 1. Introduction

The concept of pseudo-contractibility was introduced by R. H. Bing. However, W. Kuperberg gave the first example which proves that the notions of pseudo-contractibility and contractibility are different. This example was never published by himself but it is known among continuum theorists. He also asked whether or not the space  $\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$  curve is pseudo-contractible (see [12]). H. Katsuura proves in [8] that the space  $\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$  curve is not pseudo-contractible with factor space itself. In the same paper he proves that if the factor space Y is a nondegenerate indecomposable continuum such that each one of their composants is arc-wise connected, and if X is a continuum having a proper nondegenerate arc component, then X is

\* Corresponding authors.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2018.07.012 0166-8641/© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### ABSTRACT

General facts about pseudo-homotopies and pseudo-contractibility are studied for topological spaces and continua. As a consequence of these, we find several conditions that obstruct pseudo-contractibility and we present examples of pseudocontractible continua and non-pseudo-contractible continua.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.







*E-mail addresses:* fcapulin@gmail.com (F. Capulín), juvile06@gmail.com, ljuarezv@uaemex.mx (L. Juárez-Villa), forozcozitli@gmail.com (F. Orozco-Zitli).

not pseudo-contractible with factor space Y. After that, W. Dębski proves in [5] that the space  $\sin(\frac{1}{x})$  curve is not pseudo-contractible. On the other hand, M. Sobolewski in [17] shows that the only (up homeomorphism) pseudo-contractible chainable continuum is the arc. This shows that the pseudo-arc is not pseudo-contractible, answering Problem 118 of [12]. The interested reader is referred to [1], [7], [8], [12] and [17] for getting more information about these results.

This paper is divided in nine sections. After preliminaries, we give, in sections three and four, several and general facts about pseudo-homotopies and pseudo-contractibility. In section five, pseudo-contractibility with respect to a topological space is studied. The concept pseudo-homotopy equivalent is related with pseudo-contractibility in section six. In sections seven and eight we give conditions which imply nonpseudo-contractibility. Finally in section nine we present some open questions about it.

# 2. Preliminaries

A continuum means a nonempty compact connected metric space. A topological space is said to be continuumwise connected provided that any two of its points are contained in a proper subcontinuum of the space. A mapping means a continuous function. Let X and Y topological spaces, we write  $X \approx Y$ if X is homeomorphic to Y. An arc is understood as a homeomorphic image of the closed unit interval I = [0, 1]. If any two points of a space can be joined by an arc lying in the space, then the space is said to be arcwise-connected.

Let X and Y be topological spaces. The symbol C(X, Y) denotes the topological space of all mappings from X to Y endowed with the compact-open topology. It is well known that if X is compact and Y is a compact metric space, then the compact-open topology coincides with the topology given by the supremum metric on C(X, Y).

Let  $(X_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(X_2, \tau_2)$  be topological spaces such that  $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ . The free union of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is the topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  and  $U \in \tau$  if and only if  $U \cap X_i \in \tau_i$  for each i = 1, 2. The free union of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is denoted by  $X_1 + X_2$ . If A is a non-empty closed subset of  $X_1$ ,  $f : A \to X_2$  is a mapping and D is the partition of  $X_1 + X_2$  given by  $D = \{\{p\} \cup f^{-1}(p) : p \in f(A)\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \in X_1 + X_2 \setminus (A \cup f(A))\}$ , the decomposition space thus obtained is denoted by  $X_1 \cup_f X_2$  and it is called the *attached space*. If X and Y are disjoint continua, then the attached space  $X \cup_f Y$  is a continuum ([15, Theorem 3.20]).

#### 3. Pseudo-homotopy

In this section we will develop general facts concerning pseudo-homotopies.

**Definition 1.** Let X and Y be topological spaces and let  $f, g: X \to Y$  be mappings. We say that f is *homotopic* to g (or f and g are homotopic, written by  $f \simeq g$ ), if there exists a mapping  $H: X \times I \to Y$  (where I is the unit interval), called *homotopy*, fulfilling H(x,0) = f(x) and H(x,1) = g(x) for each  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.** Let X and Y be topological spaces and let  $f, g: X \to Y$  be mappings. We say that f is *pseudo-homotopic* to g (or f and g are pseudo-homotopic) if there exist a continuum C, points  $a, b \in C$  and a mapping  $H: X \times C \to Y$  fulfilling H(x, a) = f(x) and H(x, b) = g(x) for each  $x \in X$ . The continuum C is called *factor space*. The mapping H is called a *pseudo-homotopy* between f and g. We write  $f \simeq_C g$  to say that f is pseudo-homotopic to g, where C denotes a factor space.

It is easy to verify that if  $f \simeq_C g$  and there exist a continuum K, and an onto mapping from K to C, then  $f \simeq_K g$ . Moreover, if there are a continuum K' and an onto mapping from K' to some subcontinuum  $C' \subset C$  such that  $a, b \in C'$ , then  $f \simeq_{K'} g$ . Recall that two continua X and Y are said to be *continuously equivalent* provided that there are two onto mappings  $f : X \to Y$  and  $g : Y \to X$ . So if C and D are continuously

equivalent continua. Then  $f \simeq_C g$  if and only if  $f \simeq_D g$ . In particular if  $C_1 \approx C_2$ , then  $f \simeq_{C_1} g$  if and only if  $f \simeq_{C_2} g$ . On the other hand if  $f \simeq_C g$  and K is a subcontinuum of C such that  $a, b \in K$ , then  $f \simeq_K g$ . In particular, if  $I_{ab}$  is an irreducible continuum between a and b contained in C, then  $f \simeq_{I_{ab}} g$ . Moreover if  $I_{ab}$  is an arc from a to b, then  $f \simeq g$ . In particular  $f \simeq_C g$  implies  $f \simeq g$  if C is arcwise-connected. Finally, it is easy to see that if  $f, g: X \to Y$  are mappings such that f is pseudo-homotopic to g and Z is a subset of X, then  $f|_Z$  is pseudo-homotopic to  $g|_Z$ .

We will give an equivalence relation in C(X, Y) as follows. Let f, g in C(X, Y). We say that f is related to g if and only if there is a continuum K, such that  $f \simeq_K g$ . We write  $f \simeq_* g$  in order to say that f is related to g.

**Theorem 3.** The relation  $\simeq_*$  is an equivalence relation in C(X, Y).

**Proof.** The reflexive and symmetric properties are immediate.

Let us just to prove transitivity. Let  $f, g, h: X \to Y$  be mappings, such that  $f \simeq_* g$  and  $g \simeq_* h$ . Then there exist continua  $C_1, C_2$ , points  $a_1, b_1 \in C_1$ , points  $a_2, b_2 \in C_2$  and mappings  $H_1: X \times C_1 \to Y$  and  $H_2: X \times C_2 \to Y$  fulfilling  $H_1(x, a_1) = f(x), H_1(x, b_1) = g(x)$  and  $H_2(x, a_2) = g(x), H_2(x, b_2) = h(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  respectively. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$ . We consider  $j: \{b_1\} \to C_2$ given by  $j(b_1) = a_2$  and  $D = C_1 \cup_j C_2$ . We define a function  $H: X \times D \to Y$  by

$$H(x,d) = \begin{cases} H_1(x,d) & \text{if } d \in C_1 \\ H_2(x,d) & \text{if } d \in C_2. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that H is a pseudo-homotopy between f and h.  $\Box$ 

The equivalence classes in C(X,Y) under the relation  $\simeq_*$  are called pseudo-homotopy classes.

**Theorem 4.** Let X and Y be compact metric spaces and let  $f, g: X \to Y$  be mappings. The mappings f and g are pseudo-homotopic if and only if there exist a continuum in C(X,Y) containing f and g.

**Proof.** Suppose  $f \simeq_C g$ . For every  $c \in C$ , we define the mapping  $h_c : X \to Y$  given by  $h_c(x) = H(x, c)$ , where H is the pseudo-homotopy between f and g. Then the function  $G : C \to C(X, Y)$  defined by  $G(c) = h_c$  is continuous. Since C(X, Y) is a Hausdorff space and  $G(C) \subset C(X, Y)$ , the image G(C) is a Hausdorff space. Hence G(C) is metrizable ([11, §41, VI, Theorem 3]). So, G(C) is a continuum containing f and g.

Conversely, let  $f, g \in C(X, Y)$  and let  $H \subset C(X, Y)$  be a continuum containing f and g. The function  $F: X \times H \to Y$  given by F(x, h) = h(x) is continuous and it satisfies F(x, f) = f(x) and F(x, g) = g(x) for all  $x \in X$ .  $\Box$ 

In this sense every pseudo-homotopy class is continuumwise connected.

**Corollary 5.** Let X, Y be compact metric spaces. Every pair of mappings  $f, g: X \to Y$  are pseudo-homotopic if and only if the space C(X,Y) is continuumwise connected.

Regarding the composition of functions, we have the following results.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $h: Y \to Z$ ,  $k: W \to X$  and  $f, g: X \to Y$  be mappings. If  $f \simeq_C g$ , then  $h \circ f \simeq_C h \circ g$  and  $f \circ k \simeq_C g \circ k$ .

**Proof.** Since  $f \simeq_C g$ , there exist points  $a, b \in C$  and a mapping  $H : X \times C \to Y$  such that H(x, a) = f(x) and H(x, b) = g(x) for each  $x \in X$ .

To prove the first part we consider the function  $G: X \times C \to Z$  defined by  $G(x, c) = (h \circ H)(x, c)$ . The function G is a pseudo-homotopy between  $h \circ f$  and  $h \circ g$ .

On the other hand, the function  $F: W \times C \to Y$  given by H(z,c) = F(k(z),c) is a pseudo-homotopy between  $f \circ k$  and  $g \circ k$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 7.** Let  $f, f': X \to Y$  and  $g, g': Y \to Z$  be mappings such that  $f \simeq_{C_1} f'$  and  $g \simeq_{C_2} g'$ . Then the composition  $g \circ f$  is pseudo-homotopic to the composition  $g' \circ f'$ .

**Proof.** By hypothesis, there are points  $a_1, b_1 \in C_1, a_2, b_2 \in C_2$  and mappings  $H_1 : X \times C_1 \to Y$  and  $H_2 : Y \times C_2 \to Z$  such that  $H_1(x, a_1) = f(x), H_1(x, b_1) = f'(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $H_2(y, a_2) = g(y), H_2(y, b_2) = g'(y)$  for each  $y \in Y$ . Consider the continuum  $C = C_1 \times C_2$  and the points  $\hat{a}_0 = (a_1, a_2), \hat{b}_0 = (b_1, b_2) \in C_1 \times C_2$ , then the function  $F : X \times (C_1 \times C_2) \to Z$  defined by  $F(x, (c_1, c_2)) = H_2(H_1(x, c_1), c_2)$  is a pseudo-homotopy between  $g \circ f$  and  $g' \circ f'$ .  $\Box$ 

Let  $\{X_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a family of topological spaces and let  $\prod_{j\in J} X_j$  the product space endowed with the product topology. Recall that the for each natural number *i*, the map  $\pi_i : \prod_{j\in J} X_j \to X_i$  is defined by  $\pi_i((x_j)_{j\in J}) = x_i$ .

The following result follows from Theorem 6.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\{Y_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a family of topological spaces. Let  $f, g : X \to \prod_{\alpha \in I} Y_{\alpha}$  be mappings. If f is pseudo-homotopic to g, then so are  $\pi_{\alpha} \circ f$  and  $\pi_{\alpha} \circ g$ .

**Corollary 9.** Let  $\{Y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a family of topological spaces. Let  $f, g: X \to \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} Y_n$  be mappings. The mappings f and g are pseudo-homotopic if and only if the mappings  $\pi_n \circ f$  and  $\pi_n \circ g$  are pseudo-homotopic.

**Proof.** Let  $f, g: X \to \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n$  be mappings. Suppose that  $\pi_n \circ f$  and  $\pi_n \circ g$  are pseudo-homotopic for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist a continuum  $C_n$ , points  $a_n$ ,  $b_n \in C_n$  and a mapping  $H_n: X \times C_n \to Y_n$  such that  $H_n(x, a_n) = (\pi_n \circ f)(x)$  and  $H_n(x, b_n) = (\pi_n \circ g)(x)$ . Notice that  $C = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$  is a continuum. Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C$ . The function  $H: X \times C \to \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n$  defined by  $H(x, (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (H_n(x, c_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a pseudo-homotopy between f and g.

The converse is immediate from Theorem 8.  $\Box$ 

#### 4. Pseudo-contractibility

In this part we will give general facts about pseudo-contractibility. Let us start with the usual definition of contractibility.

**Definition 10.** A topological space X is said to be *contractible* if its identity mapping is homotopic to a constant mapping in X, i.e., there exists a mapping  $H : X \times [0,1] \to X$  satisfying H(x,0) = x and  $H(x,1) = x_0$ , for each  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 11.** A topological space X is said to be *pseudo-contractible* if its identity mapping is pseudohomotopic to a constant mapping into X, i.e., there exist a continuum C, points  $a, b \in C, x_0 \in X$  and a mapping  $H: X \times C \to X$  fulfilling H(x, a) = x and  $H(x, b) = x_0$  for each  $x \in X$ .

Notice that X is (pseudo-)contractible if and only if each mapping  $f: X \to X$  is (pseudo-)homotopic to a constant mapping.

The following example was given by W. Kuperberg and it was the first example showing that the concepts of contractibility and pseudo-contractibility are different. We describe and draw here this example for the interested readers (see Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Pseudo-contractible continuum.

**Example 12** (W. Kuperberg). Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the complex plane and let  $X_0 = \{\frac{t+2}{t+1}e^{it} : t \in [0,\infty)\}$  be the spiral approaching the unit circle S<sup>1</sup>. Let  $X = X_0 \cup \{x : |x| \le 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We observe that the continuum X is not contractible because it is not arc-wise connected.

Consider  $C = X_0 \cup \{x : |x| = 1\} \cup X_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ , where  $X_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : Im(x) = 0, 0 \le Re(x) \le 1\}$ . We define a mapping  $H: X \times C \to X$  as follows:

- $\begin{array}{ll} 1. & H(\frac{t+2}{t+1}e^{it},\frac{t'+2}{t'+1}e^{it'}) = \frac{t+t'+2}{t+t'+1}e^{i(t+t')} \text{ if } t, \ t' \in [0,\infty). \\ 2. & H(x,\frac{t+2}{t+1}e^{it}) = xe^{it} \text{ if } |x| \leq 1, \ t \in [0,\infty). \\ 3. & H(x,x') = xx' \text{ if } |x| \leq 1, \ |x'| = 1 \text{ or } x' \in X_1. \\ 4. & H(\frac{t+2}{t+1}e^{it},x) = xe^{it} \text{ if } t \in [0,\infty), \ |x| = 1 \text{ or } x \in X_1. \end{array}$

We have that H(x, 2) = x and H(x, 0) = 0 for each  $x \in X$ . So, X is pseudo-contractible.

As a consequence of the comments after of Definition 2 and Urysohn's Lemma, we have the following four results.

**Theorem 13.** If a continuum X is pseudo-contractible with (locally connected continuum) arcwise-connected continuum as factor space, then X is contractible.

**Corollary 14.** If X is a pseudo-contractible continuum with factor space C and  $f: C' \to C$  is an onto mapping, then X is pseudo-contractible with factor space C'.

**Corollary 15.** Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be continual such that  $C_1$  is continuously equivalent to  $C_2$ . Hence X is pseudocontractible with factor space  $C_1$  if and only if X is pseudo-contractible with factor space  $C_2$ .

**Corollary 16.** If a topological space X is contractible, then X is pseudo-contractible with any continuum as factor space.

From Hahn–Mazurkiewicz's Theorem, every locally connected continuum is the continuous image to the interval [0, 1]. By Urysohn's Lemma, there exist mappings from every normal space to the interval [0, 1]. So, each locally connected continuum C is continuously equivalent to the interval.

In this way, we have the following.

**Theorem 17.** Let X be a topological space, the following are equivalent:

- 1. X is pseudo-contractible with any continuum as factor space.
- 2. X is pseudo-contractible with any locally connected continuum C as factor space.
- 3. X is pseudo-contractible with some locally connected continuum C as factor space.
- 4. X is pseudo-contractible with some arcwise-connected continuum as factor space.
- 5. X is pseudo-contractible with any arcwise-connected continuum as factor space.
- 6. X is pseudo-contractible with some factor space C such that a and b can be joined with an arc in C. where C, a and b are as in Definition 11.
- 7. X is contractible.

**Definition 18.** Let X be a topological space and let A be a closed subset of X. A retraction from X onto A is a mapping  $r: X \to A$  such that r(a) = a for each  $a \in A$ . The set A is called a retract of X.

We will see that pseudo-contractibility (as well as contractibility) is preserved under retractions.

**Theorem 19.** Let X be a pseudo-contractible space. If A is a retract of X, then A is pseudo-contractible.

**Proof.** Let C a continuum, let  $a, b \in C, x_0 \in X$  and let  $H: X \times C \to X$  a mapping satisfying H(x, a) = xand  $H(x, b) = x_0$  for each  $x \in X$ . Since A is a retract of X, there exists a mapping  $r: X \to A$  such that r(y) = y for each  $y \in A$ . Let  $a_0 = r(x_0) \in A$ . Consider the mapping  $i: A \times C \to X \times C$  given by i(y, c) = (y, c).

In order to show that A is pseudo-contractible consider the mapping  $G : A \times C \to A$  defined by  $G(y,c) = (r \circ H \circ i)(y,c)$ . The function G is a pseudo-homotopy between the identity mapping and a constant mapping.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 20.** Notice that pseudo-contractibility is a topological property.

**Theorem 21.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. The spaces X and Y are pseudo-contractible if and only if the product space  $X \times Y$  is pseudo-contractible.

**Proof.** If X and Y are pseudo-contractible, there exist continua  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , points  $a_1$ ,  $b_1 \in C_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $b_2 \in C_2$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $y_0 \in Y$  and mappings  $H_1 : X \times C_1 \to X$ ,  $H_2 : Y \times C_2 \to Y$  fulfilling  $H_1(x, a_1) = x$ ,  $H_1(x, b_1) = x_0$  and  $H_2(y, a_2) = y$ ,  $H_2(y, b_2) = y_0$  for each  $x \in X$  and each  $y \in Y$ . Consider the continuum  $C_1 \times C_2$  and the points  $(a_1, a_2)$ ,  $(b_1, b_2) \in C_1 \times C_2$ . The function  $H : (X \times Y) \times (C_1 \times C_2) \to X \times Y$  defined by  $H((x, y), (c_1, c_2)) = (H_1(x, c_1), H_2(y, c_2))$  is a pseudo-homotopy between the identity mapping and the constant mapping whose image is  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

Now suppose that  $X \times Y$  is pseudo-contractible, let  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$  of image of the constant mapping satisfying the definition of pseudo-contractibility. Since  $X \times \{y_0\} \approx X$  and  $\{x_0\} \times Y \approx Y$ , and  $X \times \{y_0\}$  and  $\{x_0\} \times Y$  are retracts of  $X \times Y$ , Theorem 19 and Remark 20 imply that X and Y are pseudo-contractible.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 22.** Let  $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of topological spaces. The space  $X_n$  is pseudo-contractible for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if the product space  $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$  is pseudo-contractible.

**Proof.** Suppose  $X_n$  is pseudo-contractible for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence there exist  $\{C_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of continua, points  $a_n$ ,  $b_n \in C_n$ ,  $x_n^0 \in X_n$  and mappings  $H_n : X_n \times C_n \to X_n$ , satisfying  $H_n(x, a_n) = x$ ,  $H_n(x, b_n) = x_n^0$  for each  $x \in X_n$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the continuum  $C = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$  and the points  $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in C$ . We define the function  $H : (\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n) \times C \to \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$  by  $H((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = (H_n(x_n, c_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ . The mapping H is a pseudo-homotopy between the identity mapping and the constant mapping whose image is  $(x_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

Now assume that  $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$  is pseudo-contractible, let  $(x_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$  of image of the constant mapping satisfying the definition of pseudo-contractibility. Note that  $X_n \times \prod_{j\neq n} \{x_j^0\} \approx X_n$  and  $X_n \times \prod_{j\neq n} \{x_j^0\}$  is a retract of  $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$ , for each  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ . It follows from Theorem 19 and Remark 20 that  $X_n$  is pseudo-contractible for each  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ .  $\Box$ 

As a consequence of Theorem 19 and Remark 20 we have the following results.

**Corollary 23.** Let  $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a family of topological spaces. If  $\prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha}$  is pseudo-contractible, then  $X_{\alpha}$  is pseudo-contractible for each  $\alpha \in I$ .

**Corollary 24.** Let X be a topological space. The following five statements are equivalent:

- 1. X is pseudo-contractible.
- 2.  $X^n$  is pseudo-contractible for each  $n \in N$ .
- 3.  $X^n$  is pseudo-contractible for some  $n \in N$ .
- 4. The cylinder  $X \times [0,1]$  is pseudo-contractible.
- 5.  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  is pseudo-contractible, where  $X_n = X$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# 5. Pseudo-contractibility with respect to Y

**Definition 25.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. We say that X is (*pseudo-*)contractible with respect to Y if each mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is (pseudo-)homotopic to a constant mapping.

**Definition 26.** A subspace Z of X is said to be *(pseudo-)contractible in X* if the inclusion mapping into X, is (pseudo-)homotopic to a constant mapping in X.

Note that if  $Z \subset X$  and Z is (pseudo-)contractible with respect to X, then Z is (pseudo-)contractible in X.

**Theorem 27.** If X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y and Y is continuumwise connected, then every pair of mappings from X into Y are pseudo-homotopic. In particular this holds if X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y and Y is a continuum.

**Proof.** Let  $f, g: X \to Y$  be mappings. Since X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y, there exist mappings  $H_1: X \times C_1 \to Y$  and  $H_2: X \times C_2 \to Y$ , points  $a_1, b_1 \in C_1$  and  $a_2, b_2 \in C_2$  such that  $H_1(x, a_1) = f(x), H_1(x, b_1) = y_1$  and  $H_2(x, a_2) = y_2, H_2(x, b_2) = g(x)$ . Since Y is continuumwise connected, there exists a continuum K joining  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . Now we consider the attached continuum  $C = C_1 \cup_j K \cup_l C_2$ , where  $j: \{b_1\} \to K, l: \{y_2\} \to C_2$  are mappings defined by  $j(b_2) = y_1$  and  $l(y_2) = a_2$ . Define the mapping  $F: X \times C \to Y$  as follows,

$$F(x,c) = \begin{cases} H_1(x,c) & \text{if } c \in C_1 - \{b_1\}, \\ y_1 & \text{if } c = \{b_1,y_1\}, \\ c & \text{if } c \in K - \{y_1,y_2\}, \\ y_2 & \text{if } c = \{a_2,y_2\}, \\ H_2(x,c) & \text{if } c \in C_2 - \{a_2\}. \end{cases}$$

It can be checked that F is a pseudo-homotopy between f and g.  $\Box$ 

Note that if X is a topological space, Y is continuumwise connected and X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y, then every pair of constant mappings from X into Y are pseudo-homotopic with factor space  $Y' \subset Y$ , where Y' is a subcontinuum containing the image of both constant mappings. In this case the projection mapping of the product  $X \times Y'$  to Y' is the pseudo-homotopy. On the other hand, if Z is a continuumwise connected pseudo-contractible space, then its identity mapping is pseudo-homotopic to any constant mapping. In particular these results hold when Y and Z are continua.

**Corollary 28.** Let X be a compact metric space and let Y be a (continuum) continuumwise connected space. X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y if and only if the space C(X,Y) is continuumwise connected.

**Proof.** Let  $f, g : X \to Y$  be mappings. Since X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y, Theorem 27 implies  $f \simeq_C g$ . From Theorem 4, there exists a continuum in C(X,Y) joining f with g.

Conversely, we take two mappings  $f, g: X \to Y$  where g is a constant mapping. By hypothesis there exists a continuum K in C(X, Y) joining f with g. We apply Theorem 4 to get that X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 29.** Let X be a continuum (continuumwise connected space) the following statements are equivalent.

- 1. X is pseudo-contractible.
- 2. For each compact metric space Y, X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y.
- 3. For each compact metric space Z, Z is pseudo-contractible with respect to X.
- 4. C(X, X) is continuumwise connected.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let Y be a compact metric space and let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping. Since X is pseudocontractible, the identity mapping is pseudo-homotopic to a constant mapping. By Theorem 6, we have that  $f = f \circ id_X$  is pseudo-homotopic to a constant mapping. Hence X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let Z be a compact metric space and let  $q: Z \to X$  be a mapping. Since X is pseudo-

contractible, the identity mapping is pseudo-homotopic to a constant mapping. By Theorem 6,  $g = id_X \circ g$  is pseudo-homotopic to a constant mapping, so Z is pseudo-contractible with respect to X.

The implications  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  and  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  are immediate.

Since X is a continuum, by Corollary 28 we have (1) if and only if (4).  $\Box$ 

**Remark 30.** Notice that if X is pseudo-contractible, then the space C(X, X) is continuumwise connected and therefore connected. We do not if the condition C(X, X) connected implies X is pseudo-contractible.

**Theorem 31.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. If X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y and A is a retract of X, then A is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y.

**Proof.** Let  $f : A \to Y$  be a mapping and let  $r : X \to A$  be a retraction from X to A. Since X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y, then  $f \circ r : X \to Y$  is pseudo-homotopic to a constant mapping. On the other hand, we know that the restriction of two mappings are homotopic if the mappings are homotopic, so  $f = (f \circ r)|_A$  is pseudo-homotopic to a constant mapping.  $\Box$ 

In the following sections we will obtain several obstructions to pseudo-contractibility. So, it is possible to get new pseudo-contractible continua and new non pseudo-contractible continua.

# 6. Pseudo-homotopically equivalent and pseudo-contractibility

**Definition 32.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. We say that Y is semi-homotopy equivalent to X, written  $Y \approx^{SE} X$ , if there exist two mappings  $g: Y \to X$  and  $f: X \to Y$  such that  $f \circ g \simeq id_Y$ .

**Definition 33.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. We say that Y is semi-pseudo-homotopy equivalent to X, written  $Y \approx_P^{SE} X$ , if there exist a continuum C and two mappings  $g: Y \to X$  and  $f: X \to Y$  such that  $f \circ g \simeq_C id_Y$ .

When the factor space C is homeomorphic to the interval  $I = [0, 1], Y \approx_P^{SE} X$  is equal to  $Y \approx^{SE} X$ .

**Theorem 34.** Let Y and X be topological spaces. If X is pseudo-contractible and Y is semi-pseudo-homotopy equivalent to X, then so is Y.

**Proof.** By hypothesis, we have that  $id_X \simeq_C x_0$ , where  $x_0$  is a constant mapping, also there exist two mappings  $g: Y \to X$  and  $f: X \to Y$  and a continuum K, such that  $f \circ g \simeq_K id_Y$ . By Theorem 6,  $f = f \circ id_X \simeq_C f \circ x_0$ . Notice that  $y_0 = f \circ x_0 : X \to Y$  is a constant mapping.

Therefore, by Theorem 6, we have that  $f \circ g \simeq_C y_0 \circ g$ , where  $y_0 \circ g : Y \to Y$  is a constant mapping. Since  $id_Y \simeq_K f \circ g$  and  $f \circ g \simeq_C y_0 \circ g$ , by Theorem 3, there exists a continuum D satisfying  $id_Y \simeq_D y_0 \circ g$ . Hence Y is pseudo-contractible.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 35.** Let X, Z and Y be topological spaces. If X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y and  $Z \approx_P^{SE} X$ , then Z is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y.

**Proof.** Let  $h: Z \to Y$  be a mapping. Since  $Z \approx_P^{SE} X$  there are mappings  $f: Z \to X$  and  $g: X \to Z$  such that  $g \circ f \simeq_K id_Z$ . Then, by Theorem 6,  $h \circ g \circ f \simeq_K h \circ id_Z = h$ .

On the other hand, since X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y,  $h \circ g \simeq_C y_0$ , where  $y_0$  is a constant mapping. Hence, by Theorem 6,  $h \circ g \circ f \simeq_C y_0 \circ f = y_0$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3,  $h \simeq_D y_0$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 36.** Let X, Z and Y be topological spaces. If X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y and  $Z \approx_P^{SE} Y$ , then X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Z.

**Proof.** Let  $h: X \to Z$  be a mapping. Since  $Z \approx_P^{SE} Y$  there exist mappings  $f: Z \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  such that  $g \circ f \simeq_K id_Z$ . Then by Theorem 6,  $g \circ f \circ h \simeq_K id_Z \circ h = h$ .

On the other hand, since X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y,  $f \circ h \simeq_C y_0$ . Hence by Theorem 6,  $g \circ f \circ h \simeq_C g \circ y_0 = g(y_0)$ . Therefore, Theorem 3 implies that  $h \simeq_D g(y_0)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 37.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. It is said that X and Y are homotopically equivalent (or have the same homotopy type), written  $X \approx^E Y$ , if there exist two mappings  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to X$  such that  $f \circ g \simeq id_Y$  and  $g \circ f \simeq id_X$ .

**Definition 38.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. It is said that X and Y are *pseudo-homotopically* equivalent (or have the same pseudo-homotopy type), written  $X \approx_P^E Y$ , if there exist two mappings  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to X$  and two continua K and C such that  $f \circ g \simeq_K id_Y$  and  $g \circ f \simeq_C id_X$ .

When the factor spaces C and K are homeomorphics to the interval  $I = [0, 1], Y \approx_P^E X$  is equals  $Y \approx^E X$ . As a corollary of Theorem 34 we get the following.

**Corollary 39.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. If  $X \approx_P^E Y$  and one of them is pseudo-contractible, then the other one is pseudo-contractible.

The following theorem is easy to prove.

**Theorem 40.** Let X be a topological space. X is pseudo-contractible if and only if X has the same pseudo-homotopy type as a point p.

Note that Definition 33 gives us a relation within the family of all topological spaces. We say that  $X \sim Y$  if and only if  $Y \approx_P^E X$ .

**Proposition 41.** The relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

**Proof.** The reflexive and symmetric properties are immediate.

If  $Y \approx_P^E X$ , then there exist mappings  $g: Y \to X$  and  $f: X \to Y$  and continua C and D, such that  $f \circ g \simeq_C id_Y$  and  $g \circ f \simeq_D id_X$ . In the same way if  $Z \approx_P^E Y$ , there exist mappings  $h: Z \to Y$  and  $j: Y \to Z$ 

and continua E and F such that  $j \circ h \simeq_E id_Z$  and  $h \circ j \simeq_F id_Y$ . Let  $H : Z \to X$  the mapping given by  $H(z) = (g \circ h)(z)$  and  $G : X \to Z$  is a mapping defined by  $G(x) = (j \circ f)(x)$ . By hypothesis we have that  $h \circ j \simeq_F id_Y$ . Applying Theorem 6, we obtain  $(h \circ j) \circ f \simeq_F f$  and  $g \circ (h \circ j) \circ f \simeq_F g \circ f$ . Since  $g \circ f \simeq_D id_X$ , Theorem 3 guarantees a continuum M such that  $H \circ G = g \circ h \circ j \circ f \simeq_M id_X$ . In the same way, it can be proved  $G \circ H \simeq_N id_Z$ .  $\Box$ 

Concerning to the product of topological spaces we have the following result.

**Proposition 42.** Let  $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{Y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be families of compact metric spaces. If  $X_n \approx_P^E Y_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n \approx_P^E \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} Y_n$ .

**Proof.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist continua  $C_n$ ,  $D_n$  and maps  $f_n : X_n \to Y_n$  and  $g_n : Y_n \to X_n$  such that  $f_n \circ g_n \simeq_{C_n} id_{Y_n}$  and  $g_n \circ f_n \simeq_{D_n} id_{X_n}$ . That means, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have mappings  $H_n : X_n \times C_n \to X_n$  and  $G_n : Y_n \times D_n \to Y_n$  such that  $H_n(x, a_n) = (f_n \circ g_n)(x)$  and  $H_n(x, b_n) = x$  and  $G_n(y, a'_n) = (g_n \circ f_n)(y)$  and  $G_n(y, b'_n) = y$  respectively. Let  $f : \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n \to \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n$  and  $g : \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n \to \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  given by  $f((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (f_n(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $g((y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (g_n(y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  respectively. Let  $C = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$ ,  $\hat{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\hat{b} = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  we will prove that  $f \circ g \simeq_C id_Y$ . We define the mapping  $\hat{H} : \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n \times C \to \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  by  $\hat{H}((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (H_n(x_n, c_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

We have that  $\hat{H}((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = (H_n(x_n, a_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = ((f_n \circ g_n)(x_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (f \circ g)(\hat{x})$  and  $\hat{H}((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = (H_n(x_n, b_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \hat{x}.$ 

Analogously it can be proved that  $g \circ f \simeq_D id_X$ .  $\Box$ 

## 7. Trivial shape and pseudo-contractibility

Recall that a compact metric space K, is called *absolute neighbourhood retract*, written ANR, provided that whenever K is embedded in a metric space Y, the embedded copy K' of K is a retract of some neighbourhood of K' in Y. Let X be a continuum. We say that X has *trivial shape* provided that each mapping from X into an ANR space is homotopic to a constant mapping.

It is well known the following result (see [4]).

**Theorem 43.** Let X be a continuum. The following sentences are equivalents:

- 1. X has trivial shape.
- 2. X can be written as  $X = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ , where  $X_n$  is a contractible continuum and  $X_{n+1} \subseteq X_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 3. X can be written as an inverse limit of contractible continua.
- 4. For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a contractible continuum  $Y_{\varepsilon}$  and an  $\varepsilon$ -map  $f_{\varepsilon}$  from X onto  $Y_{\varepsilon}$ .

The following proposition appears in [17].

**Proposition 44.** Let X be a compact metric space and let Y be an ANR space. If  $f, g : X \to Y$  are pseudo-homotopic, then they are homotopic.

As an immediate consequence we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 45.** Let X be a compact metric space and let Y be an ANR space. The space X is pseudocontractible with respect to Y if and only if X is contractible with respect to Y. **Proof.** Suppose that X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y. Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a mapping, then by Definition 25, f is pseudo-homotopic to a constant mapping. Since Y is an ANR space, Proposition 44, shows that f is homotopic to a constant mapping. Therefore, X is contractible with respect to Y. The converse is trivial.  $\Box$ 

Note that if X is pseudo-contractible with respect to Y and Y is an ANR space (hence X is contractible with respect to Y). Then, Y is arcwise-connected if and only if C(X,Y) is arcwise-connected.

**Corollary 46.** Let X be an ANR space. Then, X is pseudo-contractible if and only if X is contractible.

**Corollary 47.** Let X be a compact metric space. Then, X has trivial shape if and only if X is pseudocontractible with respect to each ANR space.

**Proof.** Suppose that X is pseudo-contractible with respect to each ANR space. By Proposition 45, X is contractible with respect to each ANR space. Therefore X has trivial shape. The converse is immediate.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 48.** If X is a pseudo-contractible continuum, then the following statements are true:

- 1. X has trivial shape.
- 2. X can be written as  $X = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ , where  $X_n$  is a contractible continuum and  $X_{n+1} \subseteq X_n$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 3. X can be written as an inverse limit of contractible continua.
- 4. For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a contractible continuum  $Y_{\varepsilon}$  and an  $\varepsilon$ -map  $f_{\varepsilon}$  from X onto  $Y_{\varepsilon}$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 43, it is enough to prove that X has trivial shape. Since X is a pseudo-contractible continuum, then by Theorem 29, the space X is pseudo-contractible respect to each compact metric space. In particular X is a pseudo-contractible with respect to each ANR space. Therefore, by Corollary 47, X has trivial shape.  $\Box$ 

The converse of Theorem 48 is not true.

**Example 49.** The  $sin(\frac{1}{x})$  curve satisfies the conditions of Theorem 48, but it is not pseudo-contractible (see [5]).

On the other hand, notice that if  $Y \approx_P^E \sin(\frac{1}{x})$  then Y is not pseudo-contractible.

It is well known that  $S^1$  does not have trivial shape. So,  $S^1$  is not pseudo-contractible. Notice that whether  $X \approx^E S^1$ , then by Corollary 39, X is not pseudo-contractible. The following continua are some examples of non pseudo-contractible continua because all of them have the same homotopy type that  $S^1$ .

- 1. The annulus  $A = \{(x, y) : 1 \le x^2 + y^2 \le 2\}$ .
- 2. The Solid Torus  $S^1 \times D^2$ .
- 3. The Möbius strip.

In general, if X is of non-trivial shape and  $Y \approx_P^E X$ , then Y is not pseudo-contractible. Notice that  $S^1 \not\approx^E W$ , where W denotes the Warsaw circle, but they have the same shape.

**Definition 50.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. A mapping  $f : X \to Y$  is said to be *(pseudo-)essential* provided that f is not (pseudo-)homotopic to any constant mapping of X into Y. A mapping  $f : X \to Y$  is said to be *(pseudo-)inessential* provided that f is not (pseudo-)essential.

In this way, if  $f : X \to Y$  is a (pseudo-)essential mapping, then X is not (pseudo-)contractible with respect to Y. Note that whether Y is an ANR space the notions of pseudo-essential mapping and essential mapping coincide.

The following theorem allows to construct more non pseudo-contractible continua.

**Theorem 51.** If X is a proper circle-like continuum, then X is not pseudo-contractible.

**Proof.** Since X is a proper circle-like continuum, by [10, Theorem 3.2] there exists an essential mapping from X onto  $S^1$ . Therefore, X is not pseudo-contractible.  $\Box$ 

In particular the pseudo-circle is not pseudo-contractible because it is a proper circle-like continuum.

#### 8. Property b) and pseudo-contractibility

Recall that a space X has Property b) provided that for each mapping  $f: X \to S^1$ , there exists a mapping  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = \exp \circ g$ , where  $\exp : \mathbb{R} \to S^1$  is defined by  $\exp(t) = (\cos(2\pi t), \sin(2\pi t))$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the real line. The mapping g is called a *lift* of f.

The following result is well known.

**Theorem 52.** [18, Theorem 6.2] Let X be a compact metric space. The space X is contractible with respect to  $S^1$  if and only if X has Property b).

As a consequence of Proposition 45 and Theorem 52, we have the following.

**Corollary 53.** Let X be a compact metric space. The following conditions are equivalents:

- 1. X is pseudo-contractible with respect to  $S^1$ .
- 2. X is contractible with respect to  $S^1$ .
- 3. X has Property b).
- 4.  $C(X, S^1)$  is arcwise-connected.

**Theorem 54.** Let X be a compact metric space. If X is pseudo-contractible then X has Property b).

**Proof.** By Theorem 29, X is pseudo-contractible with respect to  $S^1$ . Hence, by Corollary 53, X has Property b).  $\Box$ 

Note that if X does not have Property b), then every space Y such that  $Y \approx^E X$  is not pseudocontractible.

Recall that a continuum is said to be *unicoherent* provided that the intersection of any two of its subcontinua whose union is the whole continuum is connected. A continuum is said to be *hereditarily unicoherent* provided that each of its subcontinua is unicoherent. The following result is very important concerning unicoherence.

**Theorem 55.** [18, Theorem 7.3] Every connected space X having the property b) is unicoherent.

**Corollary 56.** Let X be a continuum. If X is pseudo-contractible then it is unicoherent.

As a consequence we have that if X is not unicoherent, then every space Y such that  $Y \approx_P^E X$  is not pseudo-contractible.

**Definition 57.** A continuum X is *acyclic* if  $\check{H}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ ; i.e., the first Čech cohomology group with integer coefficients is trivial.

By [6, Theorem 8.1], a continuum having Property b) is acyclic. As a consequence we have the following result.

Corollary 58. Let X be a continuum. If X is pseudo-contractible, then X is acyclic.

So, if X is not acyclic, then every space Y such that  $Y \approx_P^E X$ , is not pseudo-contractible.

A continuum is said to be a *dendroid*, if it is arcwise-connected and hereditarily unicoherent. A continuum is said to be *decomposable* provided that it can be written as the union of two proper subcontinua and it is called *hereditarily decomposable* if each of its nondegenerate subcontinua of is decomposable. A  $\lambda$ -dendroid means a hereditarily unicoherent and hereditarily decomposable continuum. It is well known that dendroids are  $\lambda$ -dendroids ([15, p. 226]). A *curve* means a one-dimensional continuum.

Finally, we will give some results when the topological space is a curve.

**Theorem 59.** If X is a pseudo-contractible curve then it is hereditarily unicoherent.

**Proof.** By Theorem 48, X has trivial shape. Thus, by [9, Theorem 2.1 (B)], X is tree like. Therefore, by [2, Theorem 1], X is hereditarily unicoherent.  $\Box$ 

By using Theorem 59, we can give some examples of continua non pseudo-contractible. For instance:

- 1. The Menger sponge.
- 2. The Sierpinski carpet.
- 3. A Compactification of an arc with remainder a circle.

In general if X is a non hereditarily unicoherent curve, then every space Y such that  $Y \approx_P^E X$  is not pseudo-contractible.

Notice that solenoids are not pseudo-contractible because they are hereditarily unicoherent, circle-like, and non acyclic curves.

It is known that every hereditarily decomposable continuum is a curve, hence we have the following result.

**Corollary 60.** Let X be a hereditarily decomposable continuum. If X is pseudo-contractible, then X is a  $\lambda$ -dendroid.

The converse is not true, see Example 49.

A metric space X is *homogeneous* provided that for each pair of points  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $h: X \to X$  such that h(x) = y.

**Theorem 61.** If X is a hereditarily decomposable pseudo-contractible continuum, then X is not homogeneous.

**Proof.** If we assume that X is homogeneous, by [14, Lemma 5.2], there exists an essential mapping from X onto  $S^1$ , a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

In other words, every hereditarily decomposable homogeneous continuum is not pseudo-contractible or if there exists a homogeneous pseudo-contractible continuum it must be non hereditarily decomposable or equivalently the continuum must contains some indecomposable continuum.



Fig. 2. Non pseudo-contractible dendroid.



Fig. 3. Locally connected pseudo-contractible continuum.

**Theorem 62.** If X is a decomposable homogeneous curve then X is not pseudo-contractible.

**Proof.** If X is a decomposable homogeneous curve, by [14, Lemma 5.1], there exists an essential mapping from X onto  $S^1$ . Therefore, X is not pseudo-contractible.  $\Box$ 

As a consequence of the last result, we have that if X is a decomposable pseudo-contractible curve, then X is not homogeneous, or if there exists a homogeneous pseudo-contractible curve X, then X is indecomposable. On the other hand, a continuum X is said to be *hereditarily equivalent* if every subcontinuum nondegenerate of X is homeomorphic to X. By [13, 2.6.39, Corollary] each hereditarily equivalent continuum, it must be indecomposable.

Note that the circle of pseudo-arcs is not pseudo-contractible because it is a decomposable homogeneous curve.

**Corollary 63.** Let X be a curve. The following statements hold:

- 1. If X is pseudo-contractible with arcwise-connected continuum as factor space, then X is a uniformly arcwise-connected dendroid. Moreover, the dendroid X is contractible.
- 2. If X is pseudo-contractible and arcwise-connected then X is a dendroid.
- 3. The space X is locally connected and pseudo-contractible if and only if X is a dendrite.

The converses of Corollary 63.1 and Corollary 63.2 are not true. We consider the continuum given in [17, Corollary 5]. The continuum X is a uniformly arcwise-connected dendroid but it is not pseudo-contractible. See Fig. 2.

Finally, there exists a locally connected continuum X of dimension two, which is pseudo-contractible but is not contractible (W. Kuperberg, personal communication), see Fig. 3.

# 9. Questions

In this part we have some questions about pseudo-contractibility. First of all, notice that is natural to ask the following question.

Question 64. What kinds of continua satisfy that if X is pseudo-contractible implies X contractible?

Partial answers are given in Theorem 17, Corollary 46 and Corollary 63.

In particular and according to the second example given by W. Kuperberg, the next two questions were formulated.

Question 65. [3, Question 4.10] Is every pseudo-contractible dendroid, contractible?

Question 66. [12, Problem 118] Does there exist a curve which is pseudo-contractible but not contractible?

M. Sobolewski in [17] proves that the only non degenerate chainable pseudo-contractible continuum is the arc. In particular, the pseudo-arc and the Knaster-type indecomposable continua are not pseudo-contractible. In this sense is asked the following question.

**Question 67.** [16, Question 19] Does there exist a nondegenerate (hereditarily) indecomposable continuum which is pseudo-contractible?

Finally, concerning Remark 30, we have the following question.

Question 68. Does there exist a continuum X, which C(X, X) is connected but X is not pseudo-contractible?

#### References

- [1] D. Bellamy, A null pseudo-homotopic map onto a pseudo arc, Topol. Proc. 11 (1986) 1-5.
- [2] J.H. Case, R.E. Chamberlin, Characterizations of tree-like continua, Pac. J. Math. 10 (1960) 73–84.
- [3] J.J. Charatonik, Selected problems in continuum theory, Topol. Proc. 27 (1) (2003) 51-78.
- [4] W.J. Charatonik, R.P. Roe, Inverse limits of continua having trivial shape, Houst. J. Math. 38 (2012) 1307–1312.
- [5] W. Dębski, Pseudo-contractibility of the sin(1/x)-curve, Houst. J. Math. 20 (2) (1994) 365–367.
- [6] C.H. Dowker, Mapping theorems for non-compact spaces, Am. J. Math. 69 (2) (1947) 200-242.
- [7] A. Illanes, Pseudo-homotopies of the pseudo-arc, Comment. Math. Univ. Carol. 53 (4) (2012) 629–635.
- [8] H. Katsuura, Pseudo-contraction and homotopy of the sin(1/x) curve, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 115 (4) (1992) 1129–1138.
- [9] J. Krasinkiewicz, Curves which are continuous images of tree-like continua are movable, Fundam. Math. 89 (3) (1975) 233-260.
- [10] J. Krasinkiewicz, On the hyperspaces of snake-like and circle-like continua, Fundam. Math. 84 (1974) 155–164.
- [11] K. Kuratowski, Topology, vol. II, Academic Press, New York, London, 1968.
- [12] W. Lewis, Continuum theory problems, in: Proceedings of the 1983 Topology Conference, Houston, Tex., 1983, Topol. Proc. 8 (2) (1983) 361–394.
- [13] S. Macías, Topics on Continua, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2005.
- [14] S. Macías, S.B. Nadler Jr., On hereditarily decomposable homogeneous continua, Topol. Proc. 33 (2009) 131–145.
- [15] S.B. Nadler, Continuum Theory: An Introduction Monographs and Textbooks, Pure Appl. Math., vol. 158, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992.
- [16] E.M. Pearl (Ed.), Open Problems in Topology II, Elsevier, 2011.
- [17] M. Sobolewski, Pseudo-contractibility of chainable continua, Topol. Appl. 154 (16) (2007) 2983–2987.
- [18] G.T. Whyburn, Analytic topology, Colloq. Publ. Am. Math. Soc. 28 (1942).